

# Annotated checklist of marine fishes from the Sanctuary of Bahía Chamela, Mexico with occurrence and biogeographic data

Cristian Moisés Galván-Villa<sup>1</sup>, Eduardo Ríos-Jara<sup>1</sup>, Dafne Bastida-Izaguirre<sup>1</sup>, Philip A. Hastings<sup>2</sup>, Eduardo F. Balart<sup>3</sup>

**1** Laboratorio de Ecosistemas Marinos y Acuicultura, Departamento de Ecología, Centro Universitario de Ciencias Biológicas y Agropecuarias, Universidad de Guadalajara. Zapopan, Jalisco, Mexico. 45110 **2** Marine Biology Research Division, Scripps Institution of Oceanography, University of California. San Diego, La Jolla, CA. 92093-0208 **3** Laboratorio de Necton y Ecología de Arrecifes, Centro de Investigaciones Biológicas del Noroeste, S.C. La Paz, Baja California Sur, Mexico. 23096

Corresponding author: Cristian Moisés Galván-Villa ([gvc07765@cucba.udg.mx](mailto:gvc07765@cucba.udg.mx))

Academic editor: C. Baldwin | Received 4 July 2015 | Accepted 13 November 2015 | Published 18 January 2016

<http://zoobank.org/B7475F63-37EF-4113-9C99-57F3F241BD15>

**Citation:** Galván-Villa CM, Ríos-Jara E, Bastida-Izaguirre D, Hastings PA, Balart EF (2016) Annotated checklist of marine fishes from the Sanctuary of Bahía Chamela, Mexico with occurrence and biogeographic data. ZooKeys 554: 139–157. doi: 10.3897/zookeys.554.6106

## Abstract

An annotated checklist of marine fishes of the Sanctuary of Islands and Islets of Bahía Chamela in the central Mexican Pacific is presented. Records of fish species were obtained by different methods including visual census, sampling with anesthetics, fisherman-nets, and trawling with a biological dredge. Additional records were obtained from natural history collections and publications. The list comprises 196 species in 64 families and 141 genera. The Carangidae is the most speciose family with 11 species, followed by the Labridae with 10 and the Pomacentridae with nine. Fourteen species are endemic in Mexican Pacific waters, but none is restricted to Bahía Chamela. The most dominant species recorded during underwater surveys were *Epinephelus labriformis*, *Stegastes flavilatus*, and *Halichoeres dispilus*. Most species are of tropical affinities distributed throughout the tropical eastern Pacific (123), eastern Pacific (23), and Mexican Pacific (14). Other species are known from the eastern and Indo-Pacific regions (18), eastern Pacific and western Atlantic oceans (2), and some are circumtropical (9). A new record of the Gulf Brotula *Ogilbia ventralis* is provided for the Bahía Chamela and its geographical distribution is extended to Mexican central Pacific.

## Keywords

Species richness, Ichthyofauna, eastern Pacific, systematic list, biogeographic affinity

## Introduction

The study of fish diversity along the Mexican Pacific coasts started two centuries ago by naturalists and scientists who studied rich collections from some now-memorable expeditions (Gilbert 1890, Jordan et al. 1895, Breder 1926, 1927, 1928, 1936, Fowler 1944). Today the estimated number of recorded marine species along these coasts is 1,121, with the Gulf of California exhibiting the highest species richness (van der Heiden and Findley 1988, Hastings et al. 2010, Espinosa-Pérez 2014). However, there are still many areas and habitats (bays, estuaries, mangroves, reefs, littoral zones, deep-water realm) in the Mexican tropical Pacific where proper fish inventories are missing.

Fishes are an important marine group from an ecological and economic point of view. The destruction and pollution of many habitats and the overexploitation of fishes have affected marine ecosystems with the consequent loss of environmental services. For this reason, the implementation of Marine Protected Areas (MPAs) has begun to be a common practice in conservation and a useful fisheries management tool (Roberts et al. 2001, Edgar 2011). However, the design of an effective MPA requires information about the diversity of species inhabiting an area and its connectivity with other areas (Halpern and Warner 2003, Costello et al. 2010).

In the Mexican Pacific, there are some well-inventoried MPAs. For instance, there are well-documented checklists of fishes inhabiting Isla Guadalupe Biosphere Reserve (Reyes-Bonilla et al. 2010), an important area for the reproduction of the white shark off the Baja California peninsula. MPAs inside the Gulf of California include the Bahía de Los Ángeles Biosphere Reserve (Viesca-Lobatón et al. 2008, Mascareñas-Osorio et al. 2011), a seasonal sanctuary for the whale shark; Loreto Marine Park (Campos-Dávila et al. 2005, Rife et al. 2013); National Park Archipiélago de Espíritu Santo (Aburto-Oropeza and Balart 2001, Arreola-Robles and Elorduy-Garay 2002, Rodríguez-Romero et al. 2005); Gulf of California Islands (Del Moral-Flores et al. 2013); Cabo Pulmo National Park (Alvarez-Filip et al. 2006), where sound management has restored the fish biomass (Aburto-Oropeza et al. 2011); Isla Isabel National Park (Galván-Villa et al. 2010); and Islas Marias Biosphere Reserve (Erisman et al. 2011). Others include the Archipiélago de Revillagigedo Biosphere Reserve (Jordan and McGregor 1899, Castro-Aguirre and Balart 2002, Chávez-Comparán et al. 2010), Islas Marietas National Park (Solís-Gil and Jiménez-Quiroz 2004, García-Hernández et al. 2014), and Bahías de Huatulco National Park (Ramírez-Gutiérrez et al. 2007, López-Pérez et al. 2010, Juárez-Hernández et al. 2013) in the central and southern Mexican Pacific. However, many of the MPAs from the Mexican central Pacific are lacking inventories of marine fishes. One of these is the Sanctuary of Bahía Chamela located along the coast of Jalisco; it comprises eight islands and four islets dispersed along the bay.

The Sanctuary of Bahía Chamela was the first marine sanctuary in Mexico and has been protected since 2002 (Miranda et al. 2011). This sanctuary is home to species of restricted distribution and endemic fauna and flora. However, scarce information about fish diversity of the sanctuary is available. Only two previous lists of fishes of this bay are found reporting 59 and 80 species for the mainland coastline and for the


two largest islands in the bay, respectively (Espinosa-Pérez et al. 2002, Galván-Villa 2015). In the current study, a comprehensive checklist of fish species from the Sanctuary of Bahía Chamela Islands has been compiled based on sampling work from 2007 to 2014, review of material from ichthyological collections, and critical analysis of selected references. A biogeographic and occurrence characterization of all species is also provided.

## Material and methods

*Study area.* The Bahía Chamela is located in the middle coastline area of Jalisco state on the central Mexican Pacific (19°32'N; 105°06'W) (Figure 1). The bay is located between two major oceanic systems: the Gulf of Tehuantepec and the Gulf of California. The extent of the bay is 28 km from Punta Chamela to Punta Rivas (south to north). The sanctuary includes eight islands called as Pajarera, Cocinas, Mamut, Colorada, San Pedro, San Agustín, San Andrés, and La Negra, and four islets as Los Anegados, El Novillo, La Mosca, and Submarino (CONANP 2008). All of these islands and islets are included in the Marine Priority Region No. 38 of sites for conservation of the National Commission for Knowledge and Use of Biodiversity of Mexico (CONABIO). The continental coast of the bay presents sandy beaches to the northern side and shallow plains and rocky beaches to the south. The islands and islets are of continental origin with similar age and composition throughout the region (possibly from the Cretaceous) (Schaaf 2002). The two larger islands have rocky and sandy beaches, while the smaller islands and the islets have rocky intertidal zones sometimes with vertical steep slopes. The depth of the bay varies between 10 and 25 m, decreasing dramatically in the proximity of the coastline and the islands.

*Sampling effort and data analysis.* Records of fish species were made by visual census and obtained from analyses of collection reports and materials and available publications. Records *in situ* were made using underwater visual census from 2007 to 2012 according to the technique described by English et al. (1994). Each transect covered an area of 100 m<sup>2</sup> (50 m × 2 m) and was conducted by a single diver. Cryptic fishes and other specimens were collected from 2007 to 2015 with a 10% anaesthetic solution of clove oil diluted in ethanol, with a fisherman-net, and with a biological dredge. All collected specimens were deposited in the fish collection of the Laboratory of Marine Ecosystems and Aquaculture (LEMA-CPE), Centro Universitario de Ciencias Biológicas y Agropecuarias, Universidad de Guadalajara (Zapopan, Mexico), except specimen of *Chaenopsis* sp. that was deposited in the Marine Vertebrate Collection (SIO), Scripps Institution of Oceanography, University of California (San Diego, USA). Records obtained from publications included only those that were identified to species level and excluded any questionable records that we could not confirm as species known to occur in the Mexican Pacific.

The nomenclature for species level, family designations, and systematic were updated following Eschmeyer (2015). Distributions and biogeographic affinities for species are



**Figure 1.** Location of Bahía Chamela, Jalisco, Mexico. Black dots show the location of the sampling sites in the bay. The dotted line indicates the limits of the Marine Protected Area.

based on Thomson et al. (2000), Hastings and Springer (2009), Erisman et al. (2011), Mascareñas-Osorio et al. (2011), and Robertson and Allen (2015), using the following categories: CT = Circumtropical (distributed throughout the tropics of the world), EP = eastern Pacific (including tropical and temperate regions), EP+ATL = eastern Pacific and western Atlantic oceans (occurs in both oceans), EP+IP = eastern Pacific and Indo-Pacific regions (occurs in both regions), MEX = Mexican waters of the Pacific (including the Gulf of California and outer coast of Baja California), and TEP = tropical eastern Pacific (extends from south of Magdalena Bay, Baja California to Cabo Blanco in northern Peru, includes the Gulf of California and offshore islands as Revillagigedo, Clipperton, Cocos, Malpelo, and the Galápagos). For a description of the structure of fish assemblages, the species recorded between 2007 and 2012 through visual census were classified in five categories using the frequency of occurrence: D = Dominant (> 80% of census), A = Abundant (61–80%), C = Common (41–60%), U = Uncommon (21–40%), and R = Rare (< 21%).

## Results and discussion

*Species richness.* A list of 196 species, 141 genera, and 64 families of marine fishes from the Bahía Chamela is presented (Table 1). In comparison with previous studies

**Table 1.** Checklist of fishes from the Sanctuary of Bahía Chamela, Mexico. The list is arranged systematically by class, orders, and families according to Eschmeyer (2015). Record designation: V = visual record (2007–2009); C = collected specimen (2007–2015); SIO = records of the Scripps Institution of Oceanography; R1 = Espinoza-Pérez et al. (2002); R2 = Galván-Villa (2015). Frequency of occurrence: D = dominant; A = abundant; C = common; U = uncommon; R = rare. Biogeographical affinity: CT = Circumtropical; EP = Eastern Pacific; EP+ATL = Eastern Pacific and Atlantic oceans; EP+IP = Eastern Pacific and Indo-Pacific; MEX = Mexican waters of the Pacific; TEP = Tropical Eastern Pacific. IUCN Categories: EN = Endangered; VU = Vulnerable; DD = Data deficient; NT = Near threatened; LC = Least concern; NE = Not evaluated. - = No data.

CLASS/Order/Family	Species	Record designation	Catalog number of collected specimens	Frequency of occurrence	Bio-geographical affinity	IUCN Categories
<b>CHONDRICHTHYES</b>						
<b>Carcharhiniformes</b>						
Sphyrnidae	<i>Sphyrna lewini</i> (Griffith & Smith, 1834)	R1	-	-	CT	EN
<b>Rajiformes</b>						
Narcinidae	<i>Diplabatis ommata</i> (Jordan & Gilbert, 1890)	V	-	R	TEP	VU
	<i>Rhinobatos glaucosigma</i> Jordan & Gilbert, 1883	SIO, R1	SIO 70-238	-	TEP	DD
Rhinobatidae	<i>Rhinobatos leucorhynchus</i> Günther, 1867	V		R	TEP	NT
	<i>Zapteryx xyster</i> Jordan & Evermann, 1896	V, SIO	SIO 70-237	R	TEP	DD
<b>Myliobatiformes</b>						
Gymnuridae	<i>Gymnura marmorata</i> (Cooper, 1864)	R1	-	-	TEP	LC
Myliobatidae	<i>Aetobatus narinari</i> (Euphrasen, 1790)	V, R2	-	R	CT	NT
	<i>Urobatis concentricus</i> Osburn & Nichols, 1916	V, R2	-	R	MEX	DD
	<i>Urobatis halleri</i> (Cooper, 1863)	SIO, R1	SIO 70-237	-	TEP	LC
	<i>Urotrygon munda</i> Gill, 1863	R1		-	TEP	DD
Urotrygonidae	<i>Urotrygon nigersi</i> (Jordan & Starks, 1895)	SIO	SIO 70-238	-	TEP	DD
<b>ACTINOPTERYGII</b>						
<b>Albuliformes</b>						
Albulidae	<i>Albula pacifica</i> (Beebe, 1942)†	R1	-	-	EP	NE
<b>Anguilliformes</b>						
Congridae	<i>Ariosoma gilberti</i> (Ogilby, 1898)	SIO	SIO 70-237	-	TEP	LC
	<i>Panaconger californiensis</i> Kanazawa, 1961	V, SIO	SIO 70-235	R	TEP	LC

CLASS/Order/Family	Species	Record designation	Catalog number of collected specimens	Frequency of occurrence	Bio-geographical affinity	IUCN Categories
Muraenidae	<i>Gymnomuraena zebra</i> (Shaw, 1797)	V, R2	-	R	EP+IP	NE
	<i>Gymnothorax castaneus</i> (Jordan & Gilbert, 1883)	V	-	R	TEP	LC
	<i>Muraena lentiginosa</i> Jenyns, 1842	V, R2	-	R	TEP	LC
Ophichthidae	<i>Aperichthys equatorialis</i> (Myers & Wade, 1941)	C	LEMA-PE138	-	TEP	LC
	<i>Myrichthys tigrinus</i> Girard, 1859	V, R1, R2	-	R	TEP	LC
	<i>Ophichthys triserialis</i> (Kaup, 1856)	R1	-	-	TEP	LC
	<i>Quassiremus nothochir</i> (Gilbert, 1890)	V	-	R	TEP	LC
<b>Clupeiformes</b>						
Clupeidae	<i>Harengula ibressina</i> (Jordan & Gilbert, 1882)	C, R1	LEMA-PE92	-	EP	LC
	<i>Lile stolicfera</i> (Jordan & Gilbert, 1882)	R1	-	-	TEP	LC
Pristigasteridae	<i>Pliosteostoma luitpinnis</i> (Jordan & Gilbert, 1882)	R1	-	-	TEP	LC
Engraulidae	<i>Anchoa ischama</i> (Jordan & Gilbert, 1882)	R1	-	-	TEP	LC
	<i>Anchoa soffiardi</i> (Jordan & Culver, 1895)	R1	-	-	TEP	LC
<b>Aulopiformes</b>						
Synodontidae	<i>Synodus evermanni</i> Jordan & Bollman, 1890	SIO	SIO 70-237	-	TEP	LC
	<i>Synodus laeertinus</i> Gilbert, 1890	V, C, R1	LEMA-PE97	R	EP	LC
	<i>Synodus scituliceps</i> Jordan & Gilbert, 1882	SIO, R1	SIO 70-237	-	TEP	LC
	<i>Synodus seburnae</i> Hildebrand, 1946	SIO	SIO 70-237	-	TEP	LC
<b>Gadiformes</b>						
Bregmacerotidae	<i>Bregmaceros bathymaster</i> Jordan & Bollman, 1890	SIO	SIO 70-168	-	TEP	LC
<b>Ophidiiformes</b>						
Byrthitidae	<i>Ogilbia boydualkeri</i> Møller, Schwarzhans & Nielsen, 2005	SIO	SIO 70-165	-	TEP	LC
	<i>Ogilbia ventralis</i> (Gill, 1863)	C	LEMA-PE135	-	MEX	LC
<b>Batrachoidiformes</b>						
Batrachoididae	<i>Porichthys ephippiatus</i> Walker & Rosenblatt, 1988	SIO	SIO 70-168, 235, 237, 238	-	TEP	LC
<b>Lophiiformes</b>						
Antennariidae	<i>Antennatus coccineus</i> (Lesson, 1831)	C	LEMA-PE70, 71	-	EP+IP	NE
	<i>Antennatus sanguineus</i> (Gill, 1863)	C, SIO	LEMA-PE50, 51 SIO 70-167	-	TEP	LC

CLASS/Order/Family	Species	Record designation	Catalog number of collected specimens	Frequency of occurrence	Bio-geographical affinity	IUCN Categories
<b>Gobiesociformes</b>						
Gobiesocidae	<i>Arocs erythrops</i> (Jordan & Gilbert, 1882)	C, SIO	LEMA-PE74 SIO 70-167	-	MEX	LC
	<i>Gobiox adustus</i> Jordan & Gilbert, 1882	SIO	SIO 70-167	-	TEP	LC
	<i>Gobiox papillifer</i> Gilbert, 1890	C	LEMA-PE95	-	TEP	LC
<b>Atheriniformes</b>						
Atherinopsidae	<i>Atherinella eriarcha</i> Jordan & Gilbert, 1882	SIO, R1	SIO 70-167	-	TEP	LC
<b>Beloniformes</b>						
Belontiidae	<i>Ablennes bians</i> (Valenciennes, 1846)	C, SIO	LEMA-PE60 SIO 70-166	-	CT	NE
	<i>Platybelone argalus</i> (Lesueur, 1821)	R1	-	-	CT	LC
	<i>Tylosurus fodiator</i> Jordan & Gilbert, 1882	R1	-	-	CT	LC
<b>Beryciformes</b>						
Holocentridae	<i>Myripristis leignathus</i> Valenciennes, 1846	V, SIO, R2	SIO 70-167	R	TEP	LC
	<i>Sargocentron suborbitalis</i> (Gill, 1863)	V, R2	-	U	TEP	LC
<b>Syngnathiformes</b>						
Fistulariidae	<i>Fistularia commersonii</i> Rüppel, 1838	V, SIO, R2	SIO 70-167	R	EP+IP	NE
Syngnathidae	<i>Hippocampus ingens</i> Girard, 1858	C	LEMA-PE99	-	EP	VU
<b>Scorpaeniformes</b>						
	<i>Pontinus</i> sp. 1‡	C	LEMA-PE132	-	-	-
	<i>Pontinus</i> sp. 2‡	C	LEMA-PE136	-	-	-
Scorpaenidae	<i>Scorpaena mystes</i> Jordan & Starks, 1895	V, C, R2	LEMA-PE102	R	EP	LC
	<i>Scorpaena sonoriae</i> Jenkins & Evermann, 1889	SIO	SIO 70-238	-	MEX	LC
	<i>Scorpaenodes xyris</i> (Jordan & Gilbert, 1882)	C, SIO	LEMA-PE112, 114, 115 SIO 70-167	-	EP	LC
Triglidae	<i>Prionotus stephanophrys</i> Lockington, 1881	SIO	SIO 70-168	-	TEP	LC
<b>Perciformes</b>						
	<i>Alphestes immaculatus</i> Breder, 1936	V, R2	-	U	TEP	LC
Epinephelidae	<i>Cephalopholis panamensis</i> (Steindachner, 1877)	V, R2	-	C	TEP	LC
	<i>Dermatolepis dermatolepis</i> (Boulenger, 1895)	V	-	R	EP	LC

CLASS/Order/Family	Species	Record designation	Catalog number of collected specimens	Frequency of occurrence	Bio-geographical affinity	IUCN Categories
	<i>Epinephelus labriformis</i> (Jenyns, 1840)	V, SIO, R2	SIO 70-167	D	EP	LC
	<i>Panathias colonus</i> (Valenciennes, 1846)	V	-	R	TEP	LC
	<i>Rypticus bicolor</i> Valenciennes, 1846	V, SIO	SIO 70-167	R	TEP	LC
	<i>Rypticus nigripinnis</i> Gill, 1861	V	-	R	TEP	LC
Serranidae	<i>Serranus psittacinus</i> Valenciennes, 1846	V, SIO, R2	SIO 70-167	U	TEP	LC
Apogonidae	<i>Apogon pacificus</i> (Herre, 1935)	V, SIO	SIO 70-167	R	EP	LC
	<i>Apogon retrosella</i> (Gill, 1862)	V, SIO, R2	SIO 70-167	R	TEP	LC
	<i>Caranx caballus</i> Günther, 1868	V, R2	-	R	EP	LC
	<i>Caranx sexfasciatus</i> Quoy & Gaimard, 1825	V, R1	-	R	EP+JP	LC
	<i>Canangides orypter</i> (Jordan & Gilbert, 1883)	C	LEMA-PE56	-	EP	LC
	<i>Carangoides vinchus</i> (Jordan & Gilbert, 1882)	R1	-	-	TEP	LC
	<i>Chloroscombrus orqueta</i> Jordan & Gilbert, 1883	R1	-	-	EP	LC
Carangidae	<i>Gnathanodon speciosus</i> (Forsskål, 1775)	V, R1	-	R	EP+JP	NE
	<i>Hemicaranx leucurus</i> (Günther, 1864)	R1	-	-	TEP	LC
	<i>Oligoplites saurus</i> (Bloch & Schneider, 1801)	R1	-	-	TEP	NE
	<i>Selene brevortii</i> (Gill, 1863)	C	LEMA-PE103	-	EP	LC
	<i>Trachinotus patiensis</i> Cuvier, 1832	R1	-	-	TEP	LC
	<i>Trachinotus rhodopus</i> Gill, 1863	C, R1	LEMA-PE108, 113	-	EP	LC
	<i>Hoplopogrus guentherii</i> Gill, 1862	V, R1	-	R	TEP	LC
	<i>Lutjanus argentiventris</i> (Peters, 1869)	V, R1, R2	-	U	TEP	LC
	<i>Lutjanus colorado</i> Jordan & Gilbert, 1882	R1	-	-	TEP	LC
	<i>Lutjanus guttatus</i> (Steindachner, 1869)	V, R1, R2	-	R	EP	LC
Lutjanidae	<i>Lutjanus inermis</i> (Peters, 1869)	V	-	R	TEP	LC
	<i>Lutjanus novemfasciatus</i> Gill, 1862	V, C, R1, R2	LEMA-PE119, 120	R	TEP	LC
	<i>Lutjanus viridis</i> (Valenciennes, 1846)	V, R2	-	R	TEP	LC
	<i>Diapterus peruvianus</i> (Cuvier, 1830)	R1	-	-	TEP	LC
	<i>Eucinostomus dowii</i> (Gill, 1863)	SIO	SIO 70-237	-	TEP	LC
	<i>Eucinostomus gracilis</i> (Gill, 1862)	SIO, R1	SIO 70-237	-	TEP	LC
	<i>Gerres simillimus</i> Regan, 1907	V, R1	-	-	TEP	LC



CLASS/Order/Family	Species	Record designation	Catalog number of collected specimens	Frequency of occurrence	Bio-geographical affinity	IUCN Categories
Haemulidae	<i>Anisotremus taeniatus</i> Gill, 1861	SIO	SIO 70-167	-	TEP	LC
	<i>Haemulon flaviguttatum</i> Gill, 1862	V, SIO, R1, R2	SIO 70-167	U	EP	LC
	<i>Haemulon maculicauda</i> (Gill, 1862)	V, SIO, R2	SIO 70-167	U	TEP	LC
	<i>Haemulon sexfasciatum</i> Gill, 1862	V, R2	-	R	TEP	LC
	<i>Haemulon scudderii</i> Gill, 1862	R1	-	-	TEP	LC
	<i>Haemulon steindachneri</i> (Jordan & Gilbert, 1882)	V, R1, R2	-	U	TEP	LC
	<i>Micropleidonus brevipinnis</i> (Steindachner, 1869)	V, SIO, R2	SIO 70-167	R	TEP	LC
	<i>Pomadasys macracanthus</i> (Günther, 1864)	R1	-	-	TEP	LC
	<i>Pomadasys panamensis</i> (Steindachner, 1876)	R1	-	-	TEP	LC
	<i>Cynoscion nannus</i> Castro-Aguirre & Arvizu-Martínez, 1976	SIO	SIO 70-168	-	TEP	LC
	<i>Parques fuscovittatus</i> (Kendall & Radcliffe, 1912)	V, SIO	SIO 70-167	R	MEX	LC
	<i>Umbrina xanti</i> Gill, 1862	R1	-	-	TEP	LC
	<i>Polydactylus approximans</i> (Lay & Bennett, 1839)	R1	-	-	TEP	LC
	Mullidae	<i>Mulloidichthys dentatus</i> (Gill, 1862)	V, R2	-	C	TEP
<i>Pseudupeneus grandisquamis</i> (Gill, 1863)		V, R1	-	R	TEP	LC
Kyphosidae	<i>Kyphosus vaigiensis</i> (Quoy & Gaimard, 1825)	V, R2	-	R	EP	NE
	<i>Kyphosus elegans</i> (Peters, 1869)	V, R1, R2	-	R	TEP	LC
Chaetodontidae	<i>Chaetodon humeralis</i> Günther, 1860	V, R1, R2	-	A	EP	LC
	<i>Jobnmandallia nigrirostris</i> Gill, 1862	V, SIO, R2	SIO 70-167	U	TEP	LC
Pomacanthidae	<i>Holocentrus passer</i> Valenciennes, 1846	V, SIO, R2	SIO 70-167	C	TEP	LC
	<i>Pomacanthus zonipectus</i> (Gill, 1862)	V, R2	-	R	TEP	LC
	<i>Abudefduf declivifrons</i> (Gill, 1862)	V	-	R	TEP	LC
	<i>Abudefduf troschelii</i> (Gill, 1862)	V, R2	-	U	TEP	LC
Pomacentridae	<i>Chromis atrilobata</i> Gill, 1862	V, SIO, R2	SIO 70-167	U	TEP	LC
	<i>Microspathodon bairdii</i> (Gill, 1862)	V, R2	-	R	TEP	LC
	<i>Microspathodon dorsalis</i> (Gill, 1862)	V, SIO, R2	SIO 70-167	A	TEP	LC
	<i>Siegastes acapulcoensis</i> (Fowler, 1944)	V, R2	-	A	TEP	LC
	<i>Siegastes flavilatus</i> (Gill, 1862)	V, SIO, R2	SIO 70-167	D	TEP	LC

CLASS/Order/Family	Species	Record designation	Catalog number of collected specimens	Frequency of occurrence	Bio-geographical affinity	IUCN Categories
	<i>Stegastes leucurus</i> (Gilbert, 1892)	V, R2	-	R	MEX	VU
	<i>Stegastes rectifraenum</i> (Gill, 1862)	V, R2	-	R	TEP	LC
Cirrihitidae	<i>Cirrihitichthys oxycephalus</i> (Bleeker, 1855)	V	-	R	TEP	NE
	<i>Cirrihitus rivulatus</i> Valenciennes, 1846	V	-	C	TEP	LC
Mugilidae	<i>Mugil curema</i> Valenciennes, 1836	V, R1, R2	-	R	EP+ATL	NE
	<i>Chaenomugil proboscideus</i> (Günther, 1861)	R1	-	-	TEP	LC
	<i>Bodianus diplotaenia</i> (Gill, 1862)	V, SIO, R2	SIO 70-167	C	TEP	LC
	<i>Halichoeres biterchiae</i> Di Caporiacco, 1948	V, R1, R2	-	C	TEP	LC
	<i>Halichoeres dispilus</i> (Günther, 1864)	V, C, SIO, R2	LEMA-PE93, 127, 128 SIO 70-167	D	TEP	LC
	<i>Halichoeres melanotis</i> (Gilbert, 1890)	V, R2	-	R	TEP	LC
Labridae	<i>Halichoeres nicholsi</i> (Jordan & Gilbert, 1882)	V, SIO, R2	SIO 70-167	A	TEP	LC
	<i>Halichoeres notospilus</i> (Günther, 1864)	V, R2	-	U	TEP	LC
	<i>Iniistius pavo</i> (Valenciennes, 1840)	C	LEMA-PE133	-	EP+IP	LC
	<i>Novaculichthys taeniourus</i> (Lacepède, 1801)	V, R2	-	R	EP+IP	LC
	<i>Thalassoma grammaticum</i> Gilbert, 1890	V, R2	-	R	EP+IP	LC
	<i>Thalassoma lucasanum</i> (Gill, 1862)	V, R2	-	C	TEP	LC
	<i>Nicholsina denticulata</i> (Evermann & Raddcliffe, 1917)	V, R2	-	R	EP	LC
Scaridae	<i>Scarus ghobban</i> Forsskål, 1775	V, R2	-	R	EP+IP	LC
	<i>Scarus perrico</i> Jordan & Gilbert, 1882	V, R2	-	R	TEP	LC
	<i>Axoclinus storeyae</i> (Brock, 1940)	V, C	-	R	MEX	LC
	<i>Enneanectes carminalis</i> (Jordan & Gilbert, 1882)	C, SIO	LEMA-PE121 SIO 70-167	-	TEP	LC
Tripterygiidae	<i>Enneanectes glendae</i> Rosenblatt, Miller & Hastings, 2013	V, SIO	SIO 70-167	R	MEX	NE
	<i>Enneanectes macrops</i> Rosenblatt, Miller & Hastings, 2013	SIO	SIO 70-167	-	MEX	NE
	<i>Dactyloscopus annis</i> Miller & Briggs, 1962	C	LEMA-PE78	-	TEP	LC
Dactyloscopidae	<i>Girella arenicola</i> Gilbert, 1890	C	LEMA-PE117	-	TEP	LC
	<i>Labrisomus xanti</i> (Gill, 1860)	V	-	R	MEX	LC
Labrisomidae	<i>Malacoctenus ebisui</i> Springer, 1959	V, C, SIO, R2	LEMA-PE100, 107 SIO 70-167	R	TEP	LC

CLASS/Order/Family	Species	Record designation	Catalog number of collected specimens	Frequency of occurrence	Bio-geographical affinity	IUCN Categories
	<i>Malacotenus mexicanus</i> Springer, 1959	C, SIO	LEMA-PE98 SIO 70-167	-	TEP	LC
	<i>Malacotenus polyporosus</i> Springer, 1959	V, C	LEMA-PE110	R	TEP	LC
	<i>Malacotenus tetranemus</i> (Cope, 1877)	C, SIO	LEMA-PE96, 109 SIO 70-167	-	TEP	LC
	<i>Parachinus tarignathus</i> Rosenblatt & Parr, 1969	C	LEMA-PE101, 106, 111	-	MEX	LC
	<i>Starksia spinipenis</i> (Al-Urthman, 1960)	V, C, SIO	LEMA-PE118 SIO 70-167	R	MEX	LC
	<i>Acanthemblemaria macrospilus</i> Brock, 1940	V, C, R2	LEMA-PE87, 134	R	MEX	LC
	<i>Chaenopsis</i> sp. §	SIO	SIO 14-41	-	-	-
	<i>Coralliozetus boehlkei</i> Stephens, 1963	C	LEMA-PE84, 85	-	TEP	LC
	<i>Ekemblemnaria myersi</i> Stephens, 1963	C, SIO	LEMA-PE80, 86, 104 SIO 70-167	-	TEP	LC
	<i>Emblemaria piratica</i> Ginsburg, 1942	C	LEMA-PE81	-	TEP	LC
Chaenopsidae	<i>Protomblemaria bicirrus</i> (Hildebrand, 1946)	C	LEMA-PE90, 105	-	TEP	LC
	<i>Entomacrodus chioscticus</i> (Jordan & Gilbert, 1882)	C	LEMA-PE137	-	TEP	LC
	<i>Hypsoblennius brevipinnis</i> (Günther, 1861)	C	LEMA-PE89	-	TEP	LC
	<i>Ophioblennius steindachneri</i> Jordan & Evermann, 1898	V, SIO, R2	SIO 70-167	U	TEP	LC
	<i>Plagionemus azaleus</i> (Jordan & Bollman, 1890)	V, SIO, R2	SIO 70-167	R	EP	LC
	<i>Eleotris picta</i> Kner, 1863	R1	-	-	TEP	LC
	<i>Gobiomorus maculatus</i> (Günther, 1859)	R1	-	-	TEP	LC
	<i>Coryphopterus urosphilus</i> Ginsburg, 1938	V, SIO, R2	LEMA-PE94 SIO 70-167	R	TEP	LC
	<i>Genogobius sagittula</i> (Günther, 1862)	C	LEMA-PE62	-	EP	LC
	<i>Elacatinus puncticulatus</i> (Ginsburg, 1938)	V, C, SIO, R2	LEMA-PE88, 116 SIO 70-167	R	TEP	LC
Gobiidae	<i>Gymnelotris seminuda</i> (Günther, 1864)	V	-	R	TEP	LC
	<i>Tigrigobius digueti</i> (Pellegriin, 1901)	C, SIO	LEMA-PE69, 83 SIO 70-167	R	MEX	NE
	<i>Microdesmus dipus</i> Günther, 1864	C	LEMA-PE66	-	TEP	DD
Microdesmidae	<i>Microdesmus dorsipunctatus</i> Dawson, 1968	C	LEMA-PE67, 82	-	TEP	DD

CLASS/Order/Family	Species	Record designation	Catalog number of collected specimens	Frequency of occurrence	Bio-geographical affinity	IUCN Categories
Ephippidae	<i>Chaetodipterus zonatus</i> (Girard, 1858)	R1	-	-	EP	LC
Zanclidae	<i>Zanclus cornutus</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)	V, R2	-	R	EP+IP	NE
Acanthuridae	<i>Acanthurus xanthopterus</i> Valenciennes, 1835	V, R1	-	R	EP+IP	LC
	<i>Prionurus punctatus</i> Gill, 1862	V, R2	-	R	TEP	LC
Sphyraenidae	<i>Sphyraena eris</i> Jordan & Gilbert, 1882	C, R1	LEMA-PE129	-	TEP	LC
Scombridae	<i>Euthynnus lineatus</i> Kishinouye, 1920	V	-	R	EP+IP	LC
<b>Pleuronectiformes</b>						
	<i>Cyclosetta</i> sp.‡	C	LEMA-PE130	-	-	-
	<i>Etopus crosotus</i> Jordan & Gilbert, 1882	R1	-	-	EP+ATL	NE
	<i>Etopus</i> sp.‡	C	LEMA-PE123a	-	-	-
Paralichthyidae	<i>Syacium latifrons</i> (Jordan & Gilbert, 1882)	SIO	SIO 70-238	-	TEP	LC
	<i>Syacium ovale</i> (Günther, 1864)	SIO	SIO 70-237	-	TEP	LC
	<i>Syacium</i> sp.‡	C	LEMA-PE124	-	-	-
	<i>Bothus constellatus</i> (Jordan, 1889)	SIO	SIO 70-237, 238	-	EP+IP	NE
Bothidae	<i>Monolene dubiosa</i> Garman, 1899	SIO	SIO 70-168	-	TEP	LC
	<i>Symphurus atramentatus</i> Jordan & Bollman, 1890	SIO	SIO 70-237	-	TEP	LC
	<i>Symphurus leei</i> Jordan & Bollman, 1890	C, SIO	LEMA-PE122 SIO 70-235	-	TEP	LC
Cynoglossidae	<i>Symphurus melanurus</i> Clark, 1936	C	LEMA-PE131	-	TEP	LC
	<i>Symphurus</i> sp.‡	C	LEMA-PE123b, 125, 126	-	-	-
<b>Tetraodontiformes</b>						
	<i>Balistes polyplepis</i> Steindachner, 1876	V, R2	-	R	EP+IP	LC
Balistidae	<i>Pseudobalistes naufagium</i> (Jordan & Starks, 1895)	V, R2	-	R	TEP	LC
	<i>Sufflamen verres</i> (Gilbert & Starks, 1904)	V, R2	-	C	TEP	LC
Monacanthidae	<i>Aluterus scriptus</i> (Osbeck, 1765)	V, R2	-	R	CT	NE
	<i>Camberrhines dumerilii</i> (Holland, 1854)	V	-	R	EP+IP	NE
Ostraciidae	<i>Ostracion meleagris</i> Shaw, 1796	V, R2	-	R	EP+IP	NE

CLASS/Order/Family	Species	Record designation	Catalog number of collected specimens	Frequency of occurrence	Bio-geographical affinity	IUCN Categories
Tetraodontidae	<i>Arothron hispidus</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)	V	-	R	EP+JP	NE
	<i>Arothron melegris</i> (Anonymous, 1798)	V, R1, R2	-	U	EP+JP	NE
	<i>Canthigaster punctatissimus</i> (Günther, 1870)	V, R2	-	R	TEP	LC
	<i>Sphoeroides annulatus</i> (Jenyns, 1842)	V, SIO, R1, R2	SIO 70-238	R	EP	LC
	<i>Sphoeroides lobatus</i> (Steindachner, 1870)	V, SIO, R2	SIO 70-237, 238	R	TEP	LC
	<i>Chilomycterus reticulatus</i> Linnaeus, 1758	V, R2	-	R	CT	NE
	<i>Diodon holocanthus</i> Linnaeus, 1758	V, SIO, R2	SIO 70-167	C	CT	NE
Diodontidae	<i>Diodon hystrix</i> Linnaeus, 1758	V, R1, R2	-	R	CT	NE

† Considered as *Albula nemoptera* by Espinoza-Pérez et al. (2002), designated as *Albula pacifica* by Pfeiler (2008).

‡ These individuals represent juveniles too small to be accurately identified.


§ This individual is an undescribed species previously found in Costa Rica.

(Espinoza-Pérez et al. 2002, Galván-Villa 2015), the richness of the bay increased in this study by more than 240% (by 117 species). The jacks (Carangidae) represent the most diverse family, with 11 species and 8 genera, followed by the wrasses (Labridae) with 10 species and 5 genera, and damselfishes (Pomacentridae) and grunts (Haemulidae) each with 9 species and 4 genera. Eighteen families are represented by only one species. No endemic species for Bahía Chamela were found but 14 endemic species for the Mexican Pacific are recorded here.

The fish species richness of Bahía Chamela (196 species) is greater than in other surveyed MPAs of the Mexican Pacific, including Bahía de Los Ángeles (93 species), Bahía Loreto (66), Cabo Pulmo (62), and Isla Isabel (118) in the Gulf of California (Campos-Dávila et al. 2005, Alvarez-Filip et al. 2006, Galván-Villa et al. 2010, Viesca-Lobaton et al. 2008, Mascareñas-Osorio et al. 2011); Islas Marietas (46) (Solís-Gil and Jiménez-Quiroz 2004) in the central Mexican Pacific; and Bahías de Huatulco (112) in the southern Mexican Pacific (López-Pérez et al. 2010). Only three species (*Hippocampus ingens*, *Holocanthus passer*, *Pomacanthus zonipectus*) occurring in the bay have been designated with special protection category by the Mexican Official Norm 059-ECOL-2010. Furthermore, in the red list of the International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN 2015) three species are assessed as vulnerable (*Diplobatis ommata*, *H. ingens*, *Stegastes leucorus*) and one as endangered (*Sphyrna lewini*) (Table 1).

Fifty-four percent of the species was recorded using visual census. The composition of the fish assemblage of the bay is characterized mainly by rare species (72%). Three species are categorized as dominant: *Epinephelus labriformis*, *Stegastes flavilatus*, and *Halichoeres dispilus*; these species are widely distributed along the Mexican Pacific and are recognized as important in the reef-fish assemblage structure for this bay and other MPAs of the Mexican Pacific because of their high abundance and biomass (Galván-Villa 2015). Another four species are categorized as abundant: *Chaetodon humeralis*, *Microspathodon dorsalis*, *Stegastes acapulcoensis*, and *Halichoeres nicholsi*; nine as common, and 13 as uncommon. The number of species inhabiting the bay may increase after checking additional details of some of the collected specimens and published records. Additions may include undescribed species, juvenile stages from different species, or records from publications with erroneous determinations. For example, a single female individual of chaenopsid pike-blenny (*Chaenopsis* sp.) that was collected from sandy bottom of the bay corresponds to an undescribed species distributed from Mexico to Costa Rica (Hastings *pers obs*). Also three individuals of *Pontinus* (sp. 1 and sp. 2) were collected, but due to their small size (< 2 cm), the identification of species was not possible. They probably correspond to *P. furcirhinus* or *P. sierra*, as both species have been recorded in the area (Robertson and Allen 2015). Another five juvenile individuals of flounders (Paralichthyidae) and eight tonguefishes (Cynoglossidae) collected by the biological dredge from sandy bottoms were not identified to species level. Future careful taxonomic work on these and other specimens would increase the number of species recorded from the bay.

*Biogeographic affinity.* Most fish species recorded in Bahía Chamela are widely distributed in the tropical eastern Pacific (123 spp = 66%) (Figure 2). Twenty-three



**Figure 2.** Map showing the number of fish species recorded in Bahía Chamela and their biogeographic affinities.

species occur in the eastern Pacific, and 18 occur in both eastern and Indo-Pacific waters. Fourteen species are endemic in Mexican waters of the Pacific. One specimen of the Gulf Brotula, *Ogilbia ventralis*, was collected with clove oil anesthetic from under rocks, depth 6 m at the islet Novillos (Figure 3). This record represents a southern range extension for this species, known previously from the Gulf of California and southern part of the outer Baja peninsula. Bahía Chamela is the type locality for a second *Ogilbia* species, *O. boydwalkeri* (Møller et al. 2005). The festive drum fish, *Pareques fuscovitattus*, is the only endemic species in the Mexican Province (Robertson and Allen 2015). Nine species are circumtropical, and another two (*Mugil curema* and *Etropus crossotus*) occur in both the eastern Pacific and western Atlantic regions. Seven undetermined or non-described species were excluded from the analysis of biogeographic affinity.

Previous studies considered *Haemulon steindachneri* (Haemulidae) to occur in both eastern Pacific and western Atlantic oceans, although recently molecular analysis found that these two populations belong to different species, so the valid distribution



**Figure 3.** *Ogilbia ventralis*. LEMA-PE135, ♂, 56 mm SL, Pacific Mexico, Bahía Chamela. Scale 10 mm. Photo by CMGV.

of this nominal species is the TEP (Rocha et al. 2008). Future review of other species that reportedly occur in both oceans is important to define valid distributions. Finally, according to Robertson and Cramer (2009), the fish richness of Bahía Chamela is most similar to that of the Panama biogeographic province, but there is an important contribution of species from the Gulf of California and outer Baja peninsula and a few species from other oceans.

### Acknowledgements

Thanks to Cande Hernández, Cristina Zuñiga, Diego Moreno, Valentina Fernández, Abel Trejo, José L. Arreola, Arizbeth Alonso, Rafael Negrete, Néstor Ortiz, Martín Pérez, and Manuel Ayón for assistance during fieldwork. We thank Cynthia Klepadlo and H.J. Walker for helpful assistance at the Marine Vertebrate Collection at SIO (SCRIPPS), Ricardo Saldierna (CICIMAR) and Lucia Campos (CIBNOR) for their kind support identifying some juvenile specimens. Comments on manuscript from Carole Baldwin and one anonymous referee helped to substantially improve the final version. This study was supported by the CONABIO, Mexico (Project JF023). Field collection of fishes was done with the official permission of SEMARNAT (SGPA/DGVS/05775/12).

### References

- Aburto-Oropeza O, Erisman B, Galland GR, Mascareñas-Osorio I, Sala E, Ezcurra E (2011) Large recovery of fish biomass in a no-take marine reserve. *PLoS ONE* 6(8): e23601. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0023601
- Aburto-Oropeza O, Balart EF (2001) Community structure of reef fish in several habitats of a rocky reef in the Gulf of California. *Marine Ecology* 22: 283–305. doi: 10.1046/j.1439-0485.2001.01747.x



- Alvarez-Filip L, Reyes-Bonilla H, Calderón-Aguilera LE (2006) Community structure of fishes in Cabo Pulmo Reef, Gulf of California. *Marine Ecology* 27: 253–262. doi: 10.1111/j.1439-0485.2006.00108.x
- Arreola-Robles JL, Elorduy-Garay JF (2002) Reef fish diversity in the region of La Paz, Baja California Sur, Mexico. *Bulletin of Marine Science* 70: 1–18.
- Breder CM (1926) Nemathognathi, Apodes, Isospondyli, Synentognathi, and Thoracostraci from Panama to Lower California. *Bulletin of Bingham Oceanographic Collection* 2(2): 1–56.
- Breder CM (1927) The fishes. In: Scientific results of the first oceanographic Expedition of the “Pawnee”. *Bulletin of Bingham Oceanographic Collection* 1(1): 1–90.
- Breder CM (1928) Elasmobranchii from Panama to Lower California. *Bulletin of Bingham Oceanographic Collection* 2(1): 1–13.
- Breder CM (1936) Heterosomata to Pediculati from Panama to Lower California. *Bulletin of Bingham Oceanographic Collection* 2(3): 1–56.
- Campos-Dávila L, Cruz-Escalona VH, Galván-Magaña F, Abitia-Cárdenas LA, Gutiérrez-Sánchez FJ, Balart EF (2005) Fish assemblages in a Gulf of California Marine Reserve. *Bulletin of Marine Science* 77: 347–362.
- Castro-Aguirre JL, Balart EF (2002) The ichthyofauna of the Islas Revillagigedo and their zoogeographical relationships with comments about its origin and evolution. In: Lozano-Vilano ML (Ed.) *Libro Jubilar en Honor al Dr. Salvador Contreras Balderas*. UANL, Mexico, 153–170.
- Chávez-Comparán JC, Patiño-Barragán M, Calderón-Riveroll G, Lezama-Cervantes C, Lara-Chávez B, Ibarra-Casillas M, Bautista-Laureano S (2010) Lista de peces generada por censos visuales submarinos en la Isla Socorro Colima, México. *Revista Cubana de Investigaciones Pesqueras* 27: 72–78.
- Costello C, Rassweiler A, Siegel D, De Leo G, Micheli F, Rosenberg A (2010) The value of spatial information in MPA network design. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 107: 18294–18299. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0908057107
- Del Moral-Flores LF, González-Acosta AF, Espinosa-Pérez H, Ruiz-Campos G, Castro-Aguirre JL (2013) Annotated checklist of the ichthyofauna from the islands of the Gulf of California, with comments on its zoogeographic affinities. *Revista Mexicana de Biodiversidad* 84: 184–214. doi: 10.7550/rmb.27335
- English S, Wilkinson C, Baker V (1997) *Survey Manual for Tropical Marine Resources*. 2nd Edition. ASEAN-Australia Marine Science Project: Living Coastal Resources. AIMS, Townsville, Australia, 390 pp.
- Erismán B, Galland GR, Mascareñas I, Moxley J, Walker HJ, Aburto-Oropeza O, Hastings PA, Ezcurra E (2011) List of coastal fishes of Islas Mariás archipelago, Mexico, with comments on taxonomic composition, biogeography, and abundance. *Zootaxa* 2985: 26–40.
- Eschmeyer WN (2015) *Catalog of Fishes: Genera, Species, References*. <http://research.calacademy.org/research/ichthyology/catalog/fishcatmain.asp> [accessed 13 February 2015]
- Espinosa-Pérez H (2014) Biodiversity of fishes in Mexico. *Revista Mexicana de Biodiversidad* 85: 450–459. doi: 10.7550/rmb.32264
- Espinosa-Pérez H, Huidobro-Campos L, Fuentes-Mata P (2002) Peces continentales de la región de Chamela. In: Noguera FA, Vega-Rivera JH, García-Aldrete AN, Quesada-

- Avendaño M (Eds) Historia Natural de Chamela. Instituto de Biología, UNAM, Mexico, 245–250.
- Fowler HW (1944) The fishes. Results of the Fifth George Vanderbilt Expedition (1941). Bahamas, Caribbean Sea, Panama, Galapagos Archipelago and Mexican Pacific Islands. Monograph of the Academy of Natural Science of Philadelphia 6: 57–583.
- Galván-Villa CM (2015) Reef fish assemblage structure of three marine protected areas from the Mexican Pacific. *Ecosistemas y Recursos Agropecuarios* 2(4): 69–86.
- Galván-Villa CM, Arreola-Robles JL, Ríos-Jara E, Rodríguez-Zaragoza FA (2010) Reef fish assemblages and their relation with the benthic habitat of Isabel Island, Nayarit, Mexico. *Revista de Biología Marina y Oceanografía* 45(2): 311–324. doi: 10.4067/S0718-19572010000200013
- Gilbert CH (1890) A preliminary report on the fishes collected by the steamer “Albatross” on the Pacific coast of North America during the year 1889, with descriptions of twelve new genera and ninety two new species. *Proceedings of the US National Museum* 13: 49–126. doi: 10.5479/si.00963801.13-797.49
- Halpern BS, Warner RR (2003) Matching marine reserve design to reserve objectives. *Proceedings of Royal Society of London B* 270: 1871–1878. doi: 10.1098/rspb.2003.2405
- Hastings PA, Dominici-Arosemena A (2010) *Axoclinus storeyae*. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2014.3. <http://www.iucnredlist.org> [accessed 24 February 2015]
- Hastings PA, Findley LT, van der Heiden A (2010) Fishes of the Gulf of California. In: Brusca R (Ed.) *Biodiversity and Conservation in the Gulf of California*. ASDM Series in Natural History, University of Arizona Press, Tucson, 96–118.
- Hastings PA, Springer VG (2009) Recognizing diversity in blennioid nomenclature. *Zootaxa* 2120: 3–14.
- IUCN (2015) The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2015–3. <http://www.iucnredlist.org> [accessed 9 September 2015]
- Jordan DS, Starks EC, Culver GB, Williams TM (1895) The fishes of Sinaloa. *Proceedings of the California Academy of Sciences* 5: 377–514.
- Jordan DS, McGregor RC (1899) A list of fishes collected at the Revillagigedo Archipelago and neighboring islands. Report of the U.S. Fish Commission for 1898 (1899) 24: 271–284.
- Juárez-Hernández LG, Tapia-García M, Luna-Monsivais B (2013) Structure of fish communities in Maguey and Cacaluta bays, Huatulco, Oaxaca. *Revista Mexicana de Biodiversidad* 84: 1243–1257. doi: 10.7550/rmb.28910
- López-Pérez RA, Pérez-Maldonado IL, López-Ortiz AM, Barranco-Servin M, Barrientos-Villalobos J, Leyte-Morales GE (2010) Reef fishes of the Mazunte-Bahías de Huatulco reef track, Oaxaca, Mexican Pacific. *Zootaxa* 2422: 53–62.
- Mascareñas-Osorio I, Erisman B, Moxley J, Balart EF, Aburto-Oropeza O (2011) Checklist of conspicuous reef fishes of the Bahía de los Ángeles región, Baja California Norte, México, with comments on abundance and ecological biogeography. *Zootaxa* 2922: 60–68.
- Miranda A, Ambriz G, Valencia D, Sánchez M, Szekely A (2011) Programa de Manejo del santuario de las Islas de la Bahía de Chamela: Islas La Pajarera, Cocinas, Mamut, Colorada, San Pedro, San Agustín, San Andrés y Negrita, e islotes Los Anegados, Novillas, Mosca y Submarino, Jalisco, México. Comisión Nacional de Áreas Naturales Protegidas, Mexico, 149 pp.

- Møller PR, Schwarzhans W, Nielsen JG (2005) Review of the American Dinematchthyini (Teleostei: Bythitidae). Part II. *Ogilbia*. *Aqua* 10(4): 133–207.
- Pfeiler E (2008) Resurrection of the name *Albula pacifica* (Beebe, 1942) for the shafted bonefish (Albuliformes: Albulidae) from the eastern Pacific. *Revista de Biología Tropical* 56(2): 839–844.
- Ramírez-Gutiérrez M, Tapia-García M, Ramos-Santiago E (2007) Fish community structure in San Agustín Bay, Huatulco, Mexico. *Revista Chilena de Historia Natural* 80(4): 419–430. doi: 10.4067/S0716-078X2007000400003
- Rife A, Aburto-Oropeza O, Hastings PA, Erisman B, Ballantyne F, Wielgus J, Sala E, Gerber L (2013) Long-term effectiveness of a multi-use marine protected area on reef fish assemblages and fisheries landings. *Journal of Environmental Management* 117: 276–283. doi: 10.1016/j.jenvman.2012.12.029
- Reyes-Bonilla H, Ayala-Bocos A, González-Romero S, Sánchez-Alcántara I, Walther-Mendoza M, Bedolla-Guzmán YR, Ramírez-Valdez A, Calderón-Aguilera LE, Olivares-Bañuelos NC (2010) Checklist and biogeography of fishes from Guadalupe Island, Western Mexico. *CalCOFI Reports* 51: 1–15.
- Robertson DR, Allen GR (2015) Shorefishes of the Tropical Eastern Pacific: online information system. Version 2.0. Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute, Balboa, Panamá. <http://www.stri.org/sftep> [accessed 30 April 2015]
- Robertson DR, Cramer KL (2009) Shore fishes and biogeographic subdivisions of the Tropical Eastern Pacific. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 380: 1–17. doi: 10.3354/meps07925
- Rocha LA, Lindeman KC, Rocha CR, Lessios HA (2008) Historical biogeography and speciation in the reef fish genus *Haemulon* (Teleostei: Haemulidae). *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 48: 918–928. doi: 10.1016/j.ympev.2008.05.024
- Rodríguez-Romero J, Muhlia-Melo AF, Galván-Magaña F, Gutiérrez-Sánchez FJ, Gracia-López V (2005) Fish assemblages around Espíritu Santo Island and Espíritu Santo Seamount in the Lower Gulf of California, México. *Bulletin of Marine Science* 64: 383–390.
- Schaaf P (2002) Geología y geofísica de la costa de Jalisco. In: Noguera FA, Vega-Rivera JH, García-Aldrete AN, Quesada-Avedaño M (Eds) *Historia Natural de Chamela*. Instituto de Biología, UNAM, Mexico, 11–16.
- Solís-Gil C, Jiménez-Quiroz MC (2004) Estructura de la comunidad de peces de arrecife de Bahía de Banderas, México (1996). In: Jiménez-Quiroz MC, Espino-Barr E (Eds) *Los recursos pesqueros y acuícolas de Jalisco, Colima y Michoacán*. SAGARPA, Instituto Nacional de la Pesca, Mexico, 166–173.
- Thomson DA, Findley LT, Kerstitch AN (2000) *Reef fishes of the Sea of Cortez*. The University of Texas Press, Austin, 353 pp.
- van der Heiden A, Findley LT (1988) Lista de los peces marinos del sur de Sinaloa, México. *Anales del Instituto de Ciencias del Mar y Limnología, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México* 15(2): 209–222.
- Viesca-Lobatón C, Balart EF, González-Cabello A, Mascareñas-Osorio I, Aburto-Oropeza O, Reyes-Bonilla H, Torreblanca E (2008) Los peces de arrecife de Bahía de Los Ángeles, Golfo de California. In: Danemann GD, Ezcurra E (Eds) *Bahía de Los Ángeles: recursos naturales y comunidad*. Línea base 2007. SEMARNAT, Instituto Nacional de Ecología, Pronatura Noroeste AC, San Diego Natural History Museum, Mexico, 385–427.