



CURRENT RAPTOR STUDIES IN MÉXICO

Edited by

Ricardo Rodríguez-Estrella



Centro de Investigaciones Biológicas del Noroeste, S.C.
Comisión Nacional para el Conocimiento y Uso de la Biodiversidad



Current Raptor Studies in México

Centro de Investigaciones Biológicas del Noroeste, S.C.

Dr. Mario Martínez
Director General

Elena Enríquez Silva
Dirección de Gestión Institucional

Dr. Ricardo Rodríguez Estrella
Director de Programa Académico
Planeación Ambiental y Conservación

**Comisión Nacional para el Conocimiento
y Uso de la Biodiversidad**

Ing. José Luis Luege Tamargo
Secretario Técnico

Dr. José Sarukhán Kermez
Coordinador Nacional

Mtra. Ana Luisa Guzmán y López Figueroa
Secretaria Ejecutiva

M. en C. María del Carmen Vázquez Rojas
Dirección de Evaluación de Proyectos

Current Raptor Studies in México

Edited by

Ricardo Rodríguez-Estrella



MÉXICO

2006

CIBNOR-CONABIO
MÉXICO
© 2006

All rights reserved. No part of this publication, may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, duplicating or otherwise without the prior permission of the copyright owner.

The characteristics of this edition are property of:
Centro de Investigaciones Biológicas del Noroeste, S.C.
&
Comisión Nacional para el Conocimiento y Uso de la Biodiversidad

QL696.F3 R37 2006

Current Raptor Studies in México/ Ricardo Rodríguez-Estrella, ed. México: Centro de Investigaciones Biológicas del Noroeste, S.C.: Comisión Nacional para el Conocimiento y Uso de la Biodiversidad, 2006.

2006; 323 p. :il, 25.5 cm.

ISBN 970-9000-37-3

1. Raptors—México 2. Raptors---ecology 3. Raptors—conservation
I. Rodríguez Estrella, Ricardo (Ed.)

CONTENTS

Preface	vii
Contributors	x
Reviewers	xiii
Acknowledgments	xiv
1. Raptor studies in México: an overview Ricardo Rodríguez-Estrella and Laura B. Rivera-Rodríguez	1
2. How many raptors species are there in México? Octavio R. Rojas-Soto and Adolfo G. Navarro Singüenza	33
3. Status of raptors in Nuevo León, México Alan S. López-V., Arnando J. Contreras-Balderas, José I. González-Rojas, Juan A. García-Salas, Antonio Guzmán-Velasco, and Fernando Montiel de la Garza	46
4. Recent breeding records and sightings of Neotropical forest eagles in southeastern México Andrew M. Burton	59
5. Food habits of breeding peregrine falcons (<i>Falco peregrinus</i>) in the Ojo de Liebre Lagoon, Baja California Sur, México Aradit Castellanos, Federico Salinas, Cerafina Arguelles, Antonio Rodríguez, and Eloísa Sánchez	71
6. Comparative study of diurnal raptors at two locations along the coast of Jalisco: El Playón de Mismaloya and the Península El Tamarindo Salvador Hernández Vázquez	83
7. Spatial analysis of landscape diversity for the aplomado falcon (<i>Falco femoralis septentrionalis</i>) in northern México Elsa Margarita Zamarrón Rodríguez and Alberto Lafón Terrazas	105

8. Ecology and population status of ospreys (<i>Pandion haliaetus</i>) in coastal Sonora	120
Jean-Luc E. Cartron, Stefan A. Sommer, Darlene E. Kilpatrick, and Tad A. Pfister	
9. Breeding biology and success of the osprey (<i>Pandion haliaetus</i>) in Laguna San Ignacio, Baja California Sur, México, in 1998, 2000, and 2001	149
Ricardo Rodríguez-Estrella, Laura B. Rivera-Rodríguez, and Erika Jazmín Morales	
10. High infestation by blood parasites (Haematozoa) in nestlings of colonial ospreys (<i>Pandion haliaetus</i>) from Baja California, México	163
Jesús A. Lemus, Guillermo Blanco, and Ricardo Rodríguez-Estrella	
11. Resident and wintering populations of the burrowing owl (<i>Athene cunicularia</i>) in México	181
Ricardo Rodríguez-Estrella and Javier Bruno Granados Ruiz	
12. Raptor and raven electrocutions in northwestern México: a preliminary regional assessment of the impact of concrete power poles	202
Jean-Luc E. Cartron, Ricardo Rodríguez-Estrella, Robert C. Rogers, Laura B. Rivera-Rodriguez, and Javier Bruno Granados Ruiz	
13. Current curatorial and bibliographic knowledge of Mexican Falconiformes	231
Patricia Ramírez-Bastida and Adolfo G. Navarro Singüenza	
14. Taxonomy, distribution, and conservation of owls in the neotropics: a review	254
Paula L. Enríquez, David H. Johnson, and José L. Rangel-Salazar	
15. Taxonomic allocation and precision for tropical owl communities: a sampling approach	308
José L. Rangel-Salazar, Paula L. Enríquez, and Peter Marshall	

PREFACE

Biological diversity of México, raptors and scientific research

México is one of the most biologically diverse countries on the planet, as a result of its very complex geological history, geographic position, and environmental heterogeneity, among other factors. Its biological diversity is such, that together with 17 other countries such as India, China and Brazil, it is referred to as Megadiverse. Together, these countries sustain more than 70% of all living organisms, including plants, animals and microorganisms, México ranking first for its diversity of reptiles and amphibians, third for its mammalian diversity, fourth for its diversity of vascular plants, and eleventh for its diversity of birds. Moreover, a high percentage of the species, up to 65% in amphibians, are endemic to México; i.e. with geographic ranges restricted to the country.

The biological diversity of México has been part of the geographic and natural settings that have accompanied its inhabitants since they first settled in the country more than 12,000 years ago. The legendary diversity of the country has astonished scientists such as Baron Alexander Von Humbolt, who described México as a biological paradise. Unfortunately, this impressive natural diversity of the country is practically unknown by most Mexicans, who instead should be proud of their biological inheritance.

Nowadays, the biological diversity of México is seriously threatened. Hundreds of species and thousands of populations are endangered, mainly because of human population size and social inequity. México's population size is expected to become stable around 145 millions, but only in three decades. The loss of biological diversity has severe consequences at a biological and social level, because populations and species are the basis for the structure and functioning of biological systems, which provide us for free with environmental goods and services. These goods and services, which include the maintenance of a proper atmospheric gas composition, the ozone layer, soil fertility and quality and quantity of water, among others, generate the environmental conditions that allow life on Earth. They are the basis of our existence. Paradoxically, their continuance depends on our activities.

The only way to understand the complex relationships of living organisms with their environment, their role in providing environmental services, and better management of these living organisms to reconcile their use with their conservation, is through a solid investment in scientific research. However, many governments, including the Mexican government, surrender to the temptation of investing little in scientific and technological research, focusing on other approaches to fight social and economic problems. Those governments ignore that one of the few ways out of poverty is through the generation of scientific and technological knowledge, which is fundamental to the development of any country.

That is why I have received with great satisfaction this volume addressing the ecology and conservation of raptors – one group of species very sensitive to anthropogenic disturbances. As top predators, with low population sizes, raptors are susceptible to environmental changes that can affect them negatively, and thus increase the risk of their extinction. That is precisely why their status is an indication of environmental conditions, much like canary birds long ago used to indicate the presence of toxic gases to miners. The results presented by researchers working with raptors in México can have an immediate application in conservation.

The careful editing of the editor has produced an interesting book of high scientific quality. I am sure that time will be the best test of the benefits of this type of publications, which are essential to maintain the welfare of our society.

Gerardo Ceballos
México D.F.

CONTRIBUTORS

Guillermo Blanco

Instituto de Investigación en Recursos Cinegéticos, IREC (CSIC-UCLM-JCCM), Ronda de Toledo s/n 13005 Ciudad Real, Spain. Current address: Departamento de Ecología Evolutiva, Museo de Ciencias Naturales (CSIC) J. Gutiérrez Abascal 2, 28006, Madrid, Spain. gblanco@irec.uclm.es

Andrew M. Burton

Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de Colima, Avenida 25 de Julio 965, Colonia Las Víboras, Colima 28045, México. spizaetus@yahoo.com

Jean-Luc E. Cartron

Department of Biology, University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, NM 87131, USA. jlec@unm.edu

Aradit Castellanos

Centro de Investigaciones Biológicas del Noroeste, S.C. Apartado Postal 128, La Paz, B.C.S. 23000, México. arcas04@cibnor.mx

Gerardo Ceballos

Instituto de Ecología, UNAM. Apartado Postal 70-275 Ciudad Universitaria, UNAM. 04510 México, D.F. gceballo@ecologia.unam.mx

Argüelles Cerafina

Centro de Investigaciones Biológicas del Noroeste, S.C. Apartado Postal 128, La Paz, B.C.S. 23000, México. cimendez04@cibnor.mx

Armando J. Contreras-Balderas

Laboratorio de Ornitología, Facultad de Ciencias Biológicas, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de Nuevo León, Apartado Postal 425, San Nicolás de los Garza, Nuevo León 66450, México. arcontre@ccr.dsi.uanl.mx

Paula L. Enriquez

Animal Science Department, Faculty of Agricultural Sciences, The University of British Columbia, 270-2357 Main Mall, Vancouver, BC, Canada V6T 1Z4. plenriqu@interchange.ubc.ca

Juan A. García-Salas

Laboratorio de Ornitología, Facultad de Ciencias Biológicas, Universidad Autónoma de Nuevo León, Apartado Postal 425, San Nicolás de los Garza, Nuevo León CP 66450, México.

José I. González-Rojas

Laboratorio de Ornitología, Facultad de Ciencias Biológicas, Universidad Autónoma de Nuevo León, Apartado Postal 425, San Nicolás de los Garza, Nuevo León CP 66450, México.

Javier Bruno Granados Ruiz

Centro de Investigaciones Biológicas del Noroeste, Apartado Postal 128, La Paz, B.C.S. 23000, México. granados04@cibnor.mx

Antonio Guzmán-Velasco

Laboratorio de Ornitología, Facultad de Ciencias Biológicas, Universidad Autónoma de Nuevo León, Apartado Postal 425, San Nicolás de los Garza, Nuevo León CP 66450, México.

Salvador Hernández Vázquez

Departamento de Ecología y Desarrollo Sustentable Zona Costera, Universidad de Guadalajara. Gómez Farias No. 82, San Patricio-Melaque, Municipio de Cihuatlán, Jalisco 48980, México. Sahernan15@yahoo.com

David H. Johnson

Northwest Habitat Institute, 6504 Carriage Drive, Alexandria, Virginia 22310, USA. jowl@aol.com Northwest

Darlene E. Kilpatrick

University of Idaho. Department of Fish and Wildlife Resources, Moscow, ID 83844-1136.

Alberto Lafón Terrazas

Departamento de Recursos Naturales Universidad Autónoma de Chihuahua, México. alafon@uach.mx

Jesús A. Lemus

Instituto de Investigación en Recursos Cinegéticos, IREC (CSIC-UCLM-JCCM), Ronda de Toledo s/n 13005 Ciudad Real, Spain. Current address: Departamento de Ecología Evolutiva, Museo de Ciencias Naturales (CSIC) J. Gutiérrez Abascal 2, 28006, Madrid, Spain. lemus@mncn.csic.es.

Alan S. López-V.

Laboratorio de Ornitología, Facultad de Ciencias Biológicas, Universidad Autónoma de Nuevo León, Apartado Postal 425, San Nicolás de los Garza, Nuevo León CP 66450, México. alopezvillarreal@gmail.com

Peter Marshall

Forest Resource Management, Faculty of Forestry, University of British Columbia, 2001-2424 Main Mall, Vancouver, B.C., Canada V6T 1Z4. peter_marshall@ubc.ca

Fernando Montiel de la Garza

Laboratorio de Ornitología, Facultad de Ciencias Biológicas, Universidad Autónoma de Nuevo León, Apartado Postal 425, San Nicolás de los Garza, Nuevo León CP 66450, México.

Erika Jazmín Morales

Centro de Investigaciones Biológicas del Noroeste, S.C. Apartado Postal 128, La Paz, B.C.S. 23000, México.

Adolfo G. Navarro S.

Museo de Zoología. Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Apartado Postal 70-399, México, D. F. 04510, México. fcvg01@servidor.unam.mx

Tad A. Pfister

Prescott College, 220 Grove Ave, Prescott AZ 86301. prescott@prodigy.net.mx

Patricia Ramírez-Bastida

Área de Zoología. Facultad de Estudios Superiores Iztacala, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Av. de los Barrios s/n, Los Reyes Iztacala, Apartado Postal 314, Tlalnepantla, Estado de México 54090, México. rbastida@servidor.unam.mx

José L. Rangel-Salazar

Centre for Applied Conservation Research, Department of Forest Sciences, University of British Columbia, 2340-2424 Main Mall, Vancouver, B.C., Canada V6T 1Z4, and Departamento de Ecología y Sistemática Terrestres, El Colegio de la Frontera Sur, Apartado Postal 63, San Cristóbal de las Casas, 29290 Chiapas, México. jsrangel@interchange.ubc.ca, jl.rangel@sclc.ecosur.mx

Laura B. Rivera Rodríguez

Centro de Investigaciones Biológicas del Noroeste, S.C. Apartado Postal 128, La Paz, B.C.S. 23000, México. lrivera04@cibnor.mx

Ricardo Rodríguez-Estrella

Centro de Investigaciones Biológicas del Noroeste, S.C. Apartado Postal 128, La Paz, B.C.S. 23000, México. estrella04@cibnor.mx

Antonio Rodríguez Villeneuve

Centro de Investigaciones Biológicas del Noroeste, Apartado Postal 128, La Paz, B.C.S. 23000, México. aville04@cibnor.mx

Robert C. Rogers

Pearson Prentice Hall. 501 Boyston Street. Boston, MA 02116.

Octavio R. Rojas-Soto

Museo de Zoología, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México. Apartado Postal 70-399, México D. F. 04510, México. octavio@ecologia.edu.mx

Federico Salinas

Centro de Investigaciones Biológicas del Noroeste, S.C. Apartado Postal 128, La Paz, B.C.S. 23000, México. fsalinas04@cibnor.mx

Eloísa Sánchez

Centro de Investigaciones Biológicas del Noroeste, Apartado Postal 128, La Paz, B.C.S. 23000, México.

Stefan A. Sommer

Museum of Northern Arizona 3101 N. Fort Valley Road Flagstaff, AZ 86001-8348 (928) 774-5211 cxt. 204 SSommer@mna.mus.az.us

Elsa M. Zamarrón Rodríguez

Protección de la Fauna Mexicana A. C., Saltillo, Coahuila, México. zamarrone@hotmail.com

REVIEWERS

<i>Dra. Ma. del Coro Arizmendi</i>	FES-Iztacala, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México.
<i>Dra. Carmen Blázquez</i>	Centro de Investigaciones Biológicas del Noroeste, S.C. México.
<i>Dr. Luis A. Bojórquez</i>	Centro de Investigaciones Biológicas del Noroeste, S.C. México.
<i>Dr. Andrew Burton</i>	Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de Colima. México.
<i>Dr. Jean-Luc Cartron</i>	Department of Biology, University of New Mexico. USA.
<i>Dr. Aradit Castellanos</i>	Centro de Investigaciones Biológicas del Noroeste, S.C. México.
<i>Dr. Armando Contreras</i>	Laboratorio de Ornitológia, Facultad de Ciencias Biológicas, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de Nuevo León. México.
<i>Dr. Alfredo Cuarón</i>	Centro de Investigaciones en Ecosistemas Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México – Campus Morelia. México.
<i>Dr. José Antonio Donázar</i>	Estación Biológica de Doñana, Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas. Spain.
<i>Dr. Eduardo Iñigo</i>	Cornell Lab of Ornithology. Ithaca, NY, USA.
<i>Dr. Alberto Lafon</i>	Departamento de Recursos Naturales, Universidad Autónoma de Chihuahua. México.
<i>Dr. Adolfo Navarro</i>	Museo de Zoología. Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México. México
<i>Dr. Jorge Nocedal</i>	Centro Regional Durango, Instituto de Ecología A.C. (INECOL), Durango. México.
<i>Dr. Eduardo Palacios</i>	Centro de Investigación Científica y de Educación Superior de Ensenada. México.
<i>Dr. José L. Rangel Salazar</i>	Centre for Applied Conservation Research, Department of Forest Sciences, University of British Columbia, Canada and Departamento de Ecología y

<i>Dr. Ricardo Rodríguez Estrella</i>	Sistemática Terrestres, El Colegio de la Frontera Sur. México.
<i>Dr. J. Antonio Sánchez Zapata</i>	Centro de Investigaciones Biológicas del Noroeste, S.C. México.
<i>Dr. Scott H. Stoleson</i>	Departamento de Biología Aplicada, Área de Ecología, Universidad Miguel Hernández. Spain.
<i>Dr. José Luis Tella</i>	U.S.D.A. Forest Service, Northeastern Research Station. USA.

Estación Biológica de Doñana, Consejo Superior de
Investigaciones Científicas. Spain.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to express my gratitude to all the referees who peer reviewed the manuscripts. Their effort assures a level of standard scientific information. My special thanks to Karla Yahira Mercado Savín and Roxana C.R. Fernández for their logistic support during the editing process of this book; to Claudia Pérez for her help during the early stages of the project; to Elcna Enriquez for her initial support to start the publication process; to Ira Fogel, CIBNOR editor, for his important improvements in the English text; to Diana Dorantes, CIBNOR translator, for important changes in the English text on the Preface and Chapter 10; to Laura B. Rivera-Rodríguez for the logo design; Daniela Flores Romero and Heidy Maday Arellano Lozano for the graphic design; to Dolores Vázquez Astorga for secretarial work; to CONABIO and CIBNOR for their support in the editing and printing of this book; to Mario Martínez, General Director of CIBNOR, for providing valuable assistance in all the features of this work.

Food habits of breeding peregrine falcons (*Falco peregrinus*) in the Ojo de Liebre Lagoon, Baja California Sur, México

Aradit Castellanos
Federico Salinas
Cerafina Argüelles
Antonio Rodríguez
Eloísa Sánchez

ABSTRACT



Feeding habits of the peregrine falcon (*Falco peregrinus anatum*) were studied by analyzing prey remains at 3 eyries in the Laguna Ojo de Liebre, Baja California Sur, México, during the 1993 and 1994 breeding seasons. The falcons consumed water and terrestrial birds and one species of mammal, the pygmy pocket gopher (*Thomomys umbrinus*). We collected 86 feeding items. The diet included 17 species of waterbirds, of which 6 comprised 69% of the diet. Marbled godwit (*Limosa fedoa*) was the most common. The variety of prey items found in the study area was greater than that reported for peregrine falcons on Gulf of California islands. This may be attributable to greater diversity and availability of prey in the lagoon.

Key words: peregrine falcon, diet, Ojo de Liebre Lagoon, B.C.S., México.

RESUMEN

Estudiamos los hábitos alimenticios del halcón peregrino (*Falco peregrinus anatum*) mediante el análisis de restos de presas en 3 nidos, en la Laguna Ojo de Liebre, Baja California Sur, México, durante el período reproductor de 1993 y 1994. Los halcones consumieron aves acuáticas y terrestres y tuzas (*Thomomys umbrinus*). Colectamos un total de 86 restos de especímenes. La dieta incluyó 17 especies de aves acuáticas, sin embargo, 6 especies comprendieron el 69% de las presas. Una de las especies, *Limosa fedoa*, constituyó el grueso de la dieta. La variedad de la dieta encontrada en el área de estudio fue más amplia que la reportada para halcones peregrinos residentes en islas del Golfo de California. Esto puede deberse a la mayor diversidad y disponibilidad de presas en los humedales de la Laguna Ojo de Liebre.

INTRODUCTION

The peregrine falcon (*Falco peregrinus*) is widely distributed in the world (Ratcliffe 1993). In México, it is a resident of the northern and central part of the country. A major concentration of this bird is known from the Baja California Peninsula and the Gulf of California islands (Hunt *et al.* 1988, Porte *et al.* 1988). Though a well-studied bird in most parts of its range, very little is known about its biology, ecology, and conservation status on the west coast of the Baja California Peninsula, where they were historically abundant until the early 1970s (Banks 1969, Porter *et al.* 1988).

Recent reports suggest that the peregrine falcon is now recovering in the

region (Castellanos *et al.* 1994, 1997). The species was recently removed from the status of endangered birds in México (NOM-059-ECOL-2001). Research on its feeding ecology may provide basic information for managers to develop appropriate management strategies in México. In this paper, we document food habits of the peregrine falcon in the large Pacific coastal lagoon called Laguna Ojo de Liebre of the Baja California Peninsula, México. This lagoon, part of El Vizcaino Biosphere Reserve and listed as a natural site in the World Heritage List (UNESCO 1994), is a recently documented nesting area of the peregrine falcon (Castellanos *et al.* 1994).

STUDY AREA AND METHODS

Laguna Ojo de Liebre ($\sim 27.8^{\circ}\text{N}, 114^{\circ}\text{W}$), on the west coast of the state of Baja California Sur, (Fig. 1), is about 48 km long and 22 km wide, with shallow waters, deep channels, and strong tidal currents. The lagoon is a large complex of wetlands supporting more than 94 species of birds (Massey and Palacios 1994), of which 16 breed on small islands in the lagoon complex (Castellanos *et al.* 2001). The climate is arid and semi-arid. The mean annual precipitation is less than 36 mm, most of which occurs in winter (Salinas *et al.* 1991). *Ruppia maritima*, *Zostera marina*, and *Phyllospadix scouleri* cover portions of the lagoon's bottom and shoreline (Lot *et al.* 1986). Five small relatively flat islands and several light towers along a navigation channel are within the lagoon. Surrounding the lagoon are coastal dune scrub (*Ambrosia*, *Dalea*, and *Plantago* spp.), the Vizcaino desert flat, which is covered with 30 to 60 cm high

Current Raptor Studies in México

halophyte scrubs (*Ambrosia*, *Bursera*, *Frankenia*, *Bouteloua*, and *Muhlenbergia* spp.) (León de la Luz *et al.* 1991), salt production ponds, and a few coastal outposts and the nearby town of Guerrero Negro.

Figure 1. Map of the vegetation zones and location of the study site.

Prey remains were collected during the breeding season from three peregrine falcon nests, one on the ground on Piedras Island and the other two on channel towers near the sand dune coast. The bulk of the prey remnants were collected from the nest on Piedras Island. The first collection, in May 1993, involved all recognizable material accumulated for an unknown period of time. The nest site area was cleaned and a second collection was made in June 1993. Regular collections (25 to 30 days apart) were made from March to June 1994. To determine diet, remains collected in both years were combined and identified by comparison with reference collection specimens at the Museo de Historia Natural of the Universidad Autónoma de Baja California Sur (UABCS). Frequency of prey in the diet is expressed in percent.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

During the sampling, 86 individual prey items were identified, including 18 species of birds and one mammal, the pygmy pocket gopher (*Thomomys umbrinus*). Six species of birds constituted 68.6% of the prey items found in the peregrine falcon nests. The marbled godwit was the most frequent bird in our sample, representing 38% of the items, followed by the black-crowned night heron, long-billed curlew, short-billed dowitcher, royal tern, and willet (Table 1). Three of the bird species (black-crowned night heron, royal tern, and snowy egret) breed in colonies on two small islands in the lagoon.

Table 1. Prey remains identified in peregrine falcon eyries during the breeding season of 1993-1994.

scientific name	common name	items	frequency (%)
<i>Limosa fedoa</i>	marbled godwit	33	38.37
<i>Nycticorax nycticorax</i>	black-crowned night heron	6	6.98
<i>Numenius americanus</i>	willet	5	5.81
<i>Catoptrophorus semipalmatus</i>	long-billed curlew	5	5.81
<i>Limnodromus griseus</i>	short-billed dowitcher	5	5.81
<i>Sterna maxima</i>	royal tern	5	5.81
<i>Calidris alpina</i>	dunlin	2	2.33
<i>Larus spp.</i>	gulls	2	2.33
<i>Calidris alba</i>	sanderling	1	1.16
<i>Actitis macularia</i>	spotted sandpiper	1	1.16
<i>Arenaria interpres</i>	ruddy turnstone	1	1.16
<i>Heteroscelus incanus</i>	wandering tattler	1	1.16
<i>Phalaropus fulicaria</i>	red phalarope	1	1.16
<i>Egretta thula</i>	snowy egret	1	1.16
<i>Larus philadelphia</i>	Bonaparte gull	1	1.16
<i>Sterna hirundo</i>	common tern	1	1.16
<i>Pluvialis squatorola</i>	black-bellied plover	1	1.16
<i>Mimus sp.</i>	mockingbird	1	1.16
<i>Thomomys umbrinus</i>	unidentified birds	11	12.79
	pocket gopher	2	2.33

Peregrine falcons capture a wide variety of prey including birds (Ratcliffe 1993), small mammals (Porter and White 1973, Bradley and Oliphant 1990), and bats (Stager 1941, Porter and Jenkins 1988). However, this species is considered a bird predator specialist (Ratcliffe 1993). Studies throughout the world (Cade 1960, White and Cade 1971, Porter and White 1973, Czechura 1984, Vasina and Straneck 1984, •ro and Tella 1995) documented a diet based on a wide variety of bird species. In some places, peregrine falcons prey on fewer species of birds (Beebe 1960, Ratcliffe

1993, Velarde 1993).

Our findings indicate that the peregrine falcon diet includes many bird species. This dietary breadth is greater than that documented for peregrine falcons on the Gulf of California islands. Velarde (1993) found that peregrine falcons on Isla Rasa rely on three species of marine birds (Larids). She mentioned the finding of Anderson that peregrine falcons on Isla Partida preyed heavily on two resident marine bird species (Alcids) (*Oceanodroma microsoma* and *O. Melania*). We have no systematic data on abundance and availability of peregrine falcon prey in the Laguna Ojo de Liebre. However, our data suggest that peregrine falcons rely mainly on waterbirds there, as do their counterparts in the Gulf of California islands (Porter and Jenkins 1988, Velarde 1993). This can be explained because they regularly inhabit small islands and coastal locations (Ratcliffe 1993), and by the particular environmental conditions of the lagoon.

Peregrine falcons take birds in proportion to their abundance, but they also may exhibit preferences for some species because of factors such as size, behavior, availability, and hunting ability (Hunter *et al.* 1988, Porter and White 1973, Ratcliffe 1993). Surveys of wintering shorebirds made by Morrison *et al.* (1992), and Page *et al.* (1997) in the Laguna Ojo de Liebre indicate that the marbled godwit is the most abundant large-size shorebird there, followed by willets and dowitchers. The predominance of marbled godwit in the peregrine falcon diet reflects their relative abundance in the area.

Of the waterbirds breeding in the area, only three were taken by peregrine falcons: royal tern, snowy cgrct, and black-crowned night heron. The last two breed on Piedras Island. The falcon nest is located a few meters from them. The royal terns nest in a dense colony of about 2,800 pairs (Castellanos *et al.* 2001) in an open area on Conchas Island. Remains of this prey were found in the falcon nests on the channel towers, about 22 km from Conchas Island. These three species of resident birds are less abundant than the marbled godwit, willet, and dowitcher, but we suspect that their colonial nesting near peregrine eyries make them more accessible to peregrine falcons.

Very few land birds were found amongst the prey remains we collected. The limited occurrence of terrestrial birds can be explained in part by the halophyte scrub of the Vizcaino Flats, which support a few small passerines, such as sparrows (Emberizidae), which typically are not important prey species of the peregrine falcon (Ratcliffe 1993). However, the low frequency of small terrestrial birds may be a limitation of the sampling method (Marti 1978). For example, we observed peregrine falcons consuming American pipits (*Anthus rufulus*) on several occasions and found no remains of this species. Peregrine falcons resist capturing ground prey, such as rodents (Porter and White 1973). However, the open landscape surrounding the lagoon may leave the pygmy pocket gopher, a small rodent, common in some parts of El Vizcaino Desert, exposed when it emerges from its burrows, and vulnerable to predation by the falcons.

Given the variety, abundance, and availability of prey, we believe that additional pairs of peregrine falcons could be sustained in the Laguna Ojo de Liebre. The development of a conservation program offering artificial nesting sites could be an appropriate strategy to increase their numbers in the lagoon, an area where cliffs and other elevated suitable nesting sites are scarce.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the technical staff of SEMARNAP in Guerrero Negro, B. C. S., J.C. Peralta and the Compañía Exportadora de Sal, S. A. for their support with field work; and L. Herrera for allowing us to use the reference collection at the Museo de Historia Natural-UABCs. The editor at CIBNOR improved the English text. We wish to thank Dr. E. Glazier for his assistance with the English edition of an early draft. R. Carmona and E. Ojeda provided reference assistance. Financial support was provided by CIBNOR and CONACyT. We thank 3 anonymous reviewers for their comments on a previous draft.

LITERATURE CITED

- Banks, R.C. 1969. The peregrine falcon in Baja California and the Gulf of California. Pages 81-91 in J.J. Hickey (Ed.). *Peregrine Falcon Populations*. University of Wisconsin Press, Madison, USA.
- Beebe, F.L. 1960. The marine peregrines of the northwest Pacific Coast. *Condor* 62:145-189.

Current Raptor Studies in México

- Bradley, M. and L.W. Cliphant. 1991. The diet of peregrine falcons in Rankin Inlet, Northwest Territories: an unusually high proportion of mammalian prey. *Condor* 93:193-197.
- Cade, T.J. 1960. Ecology of peregrine and gyrfalcon populations in Alaska. *University of California Publications in Zoology* 63:151-290.
- Castellanos A., F. Salinas, and A. Ortega-Rubio. 1994. Status and reproduction of the peregrine falcon at a coastal lagoon in Baja California Sur, México. *Journal of Raptor Research* 28:110-112.
- Castellanos, A., F. Jaramillo, F. Salinas-Zavala, A. Ortega-Rubio, and C. Arguelles. 1997. Peregrine falcon recovery along the west central coast of the Baja California Peninsula, México. *Journal of Raptor Research* 31:1-6.
- Castellanos, A., F. Salinas, and A. Ortega-Rubio. 2001. Inventory and conservation of breeding waterbirds at Ojo de Liebre and Guerrero Negro Lagoons, Baja California Sur, México. *Ciencias Marinas* 27:351-373.
- Czechura, G.V. 1984. The peregrine falcon (*Falco peregrinus macropus*) Swainson in southeastern Queensland. *Raptor Research* 18:81-91.
- NOM-059-ECOL-2001. 2002. Secretaría de Medio Ambiente y Recursos Naturales. Norma Oficial Mexicana, Protección ambiental-Especies nativas de México de flora y fauna silvestres-Categorías de riesgo y especificaciones para su inclusión, exclusión o cambio-Lista de especies en riesgo. Tomo DLXXXII, No. 4-1. México, D.F. Diario Oficial de la Federación.
- Hunt, W.G., J.H. Enderson, D. Lanning, M.A. Hitchcock, and B. Johnson. 1988. Nesting peregrines in Texas and Northern Mexico. Pages 115-121 in T. Cade, J., Enderson C. Thelander, and C. White (Eds.). *Peregrine Falcon Populations. Their Management and Recovery*. The Peregrine Fund, Inc. Boise. ID. USA.
- Hunter, R.E., J.A. Crawford, and R.E. Ambrose. 1988. Prey selection by peregrine falcons during the nestling stage. *Journal of Wildlife Management* 52:730-736.

- León de la Luz, J.L., J. Cancino, and L. Arriaga 1991. Asociaciones —fisonómico-florísticas y flora. Pages 145-175 in A. Ortega and L. Arriaga (Eds.). La reserva de la biosfera el Vizcaíno en la península de Baja California. Centro de Investigaciones Biológicas de Baja California Sur. Publication No. 4. La Paz, B.C.S., México.
- Lot, A., A. Novelo, and P. Ramírez-García. 1986. Listados florísticos de México, V. Angiospermas acuáticas mexicanas 1. Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Instituto de Biología. México.
- Marti, C.D. 1988. Raptor food habits studies. Pages 67-80 in B. Pendleton, B. Millsap, K.W. Cline, and D.M. Bird (Eds.). Raptor Management Techniques Manual. National Wildlife Federation. Scientific and Technical Series No. 10. Washington, DC.
- Massey, B.W. and E. Palacios. 1994. Avifauna of the wetlands of Baja California, México: current status. *Studies in Avian Biology* 15:45-57.
- Morrison, R.I., R.K. Roos, and S. Torres. 1992. Aerial surveys of Nearctic shorebirds wintering in Mexico: some preliminary results. Canadian Wildlife Series, Progress Notes Ottawa, Ontario.
- Oro, D. and J.L. Tella 1995. A comparison of two methods for studying the diet of the peregrine falcon. *Journal of Raptor Research* 29:207-210.
- Page, G.W., E. Palacios, L. Alfaro, S. Gonzalez, L.E. Stenzel, and M. Jungers. 1997. Numbers of wintering shorebirds in coastal wetlands of Baja California, México. *Journal of Field Ornithology* 68:562-574.
- Porter, R.D. and C.M. White. 1973. The peregrine falcon in Utah, emphasizing ecology and competition with the prairie falcon. *Brigham Young University, Science Bulletin, Biology Series*, 18 (1).
- Porter, R.D. and M.A. Jenkins. 1988. Pollutants and eggshell thinning in peregrines and their prey in the Baja California region. Pages 413-421 in T. Cade, J.

Current Raptor Studies in México

- Enderson, C. Thelander, and C. White (Eds.). *Peregrine Falcon Populations, Their Management and Recovery*. The Peregrine Fund, Inc., Boise. ID. USA.
- Porter, R.D., M.A. Jenkins, M.N. Kirven, D.W. Anderson, and J.O. Keith. 1988. Status and reproductive performance of marine peregrines in Baja California and the Gulf of Baja California. Pages 105-114 *in* T. Cade, J. Enderson, C. Thelander, and C. White (Eds.). *Peregrine Falcon Populations, Their Management and Recovery*. The Peregrine Fund, Inc., Boise. ID. USA.
- Ratcliffe, D. 1993. *The Peregrine Falcon*. 2nd edition. Academic Press, San Diego, CA. USA.
- Salinas, C., R. Coria, and E. Díaz. 1991. *Climatología y meteorología*. Pages 105-115 *in* A. Ortega and L. Arriaga. (Eds.). *La reserva de la Biosfera el Vizcaíno en la península de Baja California*. Centro de Investigaciones Biológicas de Baja California Sur. Publication No. 4. La Paz, B.C.S., México.
- Stager, K.E. 1941. A group of bat-eating duck hawks. *Condor* 43:137-141.
- Vasina, W.G. and R.J. Stranick. 1984. Biological and ethological notes on *Falco peregrinus cassini* in central Argentina. *Raptor Research* 18:123-130.
- UNESCO. 1994. Properties Included in the World Heritage List. Documentation Center. France.
- Velarde, E. 1993. Predation of nesting larids by peregrine falcons at Rasa Island, Gulf of California, México. *Condor* 95:706-708.
- White, C.M. and T. Cade. 1971. Cliff-nesting raptors and ravens along the Colville River in Arctic Alaska. *Living Bird* 10:107-150.