Sea surface temperature anomalies, seasonal cycle and trend regimes in the Eastern Pacific coast
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Abstract. We used the extended reconstruction of sea surface temperature (ERSST) to analyze the variation of surface temperature and the seasonal cycle along the coast of the eastern Pacific (60°N–60°S, 61 pixels alongshore) from 1950 to 2010 (732 months). First we analyzed the monthly anomalies and looked for a relationship of such anomalies with Total Solar Radiation (TSI) and then the Regime Shift Detector (RSD) was applied to detect possible temperature regimes in the series. Afterwards, we calculated a yearly temperature range per pixel (amplitude of seasonal cycle) and through the subtraction of a latitudinal theoretical curve of temperature based on solar irradiance, the residuals of the seasonal cycle were obtained. The results showed an almost complete spatial synchrony and dominance of negative anomalies from 1950 to mid-late 1970’s, with a switch to near-zero and positive anomalies in the late 1990’s when a shift to negative values was detected. Such a shift lasted until the early 2000’s when positive anomalies appeared again but there was a change to negative anomalies in the late 2000’s. These results were supported by the RSD. The TSI variability shows a clear relationship with that of sea surface temperature anomalies and with the regime changes. This is probably due to a difference in the amount of energy received from the sun. Comparing the “cool regime” versus the “warm regime”, the second one received 0.39 % more energy (approximately \(3 \times 10^8 \text{ J m}^{-2}\)) from the sun. Seasonal cycles show larger range at northern latitudes (>40°N), northern tropical-temperate transition zone (20°–26° N) and in the tropical-equatorial band (0°–30° S). The smaller ranges occur at 0°–16° N and 50°–60° S. The residuals (seasonal minus the theoretical curve) indicated a clear modulation due to advection by ocean currents.

1 Introduction

Isaacs (1974) when talking about some issues concerning fishery science used the term “regime” referring to the prevailing of particular conditions -either biological, oceanographical, climatic, etc.- over others. In this sense, a “regime” is more like an “episode”, in which there is hegemony of a trend in a variable of interest. In the late 1980’s Lluch-Belda et al. (1989) reported that sardine and anchovy abundance alternates or cycles in eastern boundary upwelling ecosystems (EBUE’s) of the world. They used the term “regime” when one species was dominating over the other, with a “regime shift” occurring when conditions changed to the opposite. The main cause for this regime shift in abundance is warm/cool conditions on the surface of the ocean, making the ecosystem more suitable for one or the other fish species (Lluch-Belda et al., 1992; Steele, 1998; Schwartzlose et al., 1999). Climate impact on individuals and populations may operate directly through physiology (metabolic and reproductive processes) or indirectly through the ecosystem, including prey, predators and competitors (Stenseth et al., 2002). This concept of regime and regime shift of species was soon expanded to climatic factors and even to entire ecosystems and its components. It was also realized that all regimes – whether they are species, ecosystems or climate, operate in different temporal scales, from inter-annual to decadal (Hare and Mantua, 2000; Meehl et al., 2003; Scheffer and Carpenter, 2003; Sandweiss et al., 2004). The oceans act as a climatic “thermostat” and the effect of climate variability on marine ecosystems can be traced through changes in sea surface temperature (SST, Stenseth et al., 2002). In this work we decided to study variability in coastal SSTs along the eastern Pacific Ocean, from 60° N to 60° S and from 1950 to 2010. The coastal region was chosen because most of the photosynthetic processes occur in coastal environments and
are highly influenced by physical variables such as winds and currents, all of which have an effect on SSTs.

Incoming radiation from the sun is the main energy source and is fundamental in influencing terrestrial climate. Variations in the energy from the sun reaching the Earth’s surface is often assumed to be the source of climate disparities (Reid, 1991). The spatial and temporal variation in solar radiation due to solar altitude produces seasonal variation in temperatures, precipitation and many other aspects of the atmospheric environment (Moran and Morgan, 1997). Seasonality even changes on longer time scales, mainly due to alterations in Earth’s orbital eccentricity and the tilt of planet’s rotational axis, varying on recognized cycles of approximately 41 k.y and 100 k.y. respectively, and known as Milankovitch cycles (Milankovitch, 1941; Bennett, 1990).

On shorter time scales the radiation from the sun changes and has different cycles lengths. Among them we can find the Sunspots or Schwabe cycle (11 yr; Dean, 2000), Hale cycles (22 yr; Dean, 2000), Gleissberg cycles (88 yr; Braun et al., 2005; Peristykh and Damon, 2003), DeVries-Suess cycles (210 yr; Wagner et al., 2001), Hallstat or Hallstadtzeit cycles (∼2400 yr; Charvátová, 2000; Vasiliev and Dergachev, 2002). Such variability in the radiation from the sun, have an effect on the climate dynamics of the Earth and it is the main motor (Rind, 2002). Here we study the variability along the coast of the Eastern Pacific. And we compare it with the variability in solar radiation. The hypothesis is that solar cycles should be present in records of different oceanographic variables modulated by other important processes such as the ocean currents.

The work is organized as follows. The following section describes the data and methodology used for its analysis. Section 3 discusses our results and a final section is dedicated to summarize our findings and for the concluding remarks.

2 Methodology

2.1 Sea surface temperature data and total solar irradiance data

For SSTs we used the extended reconstruction of sea surface temperature data set (ERSST). This is a database with a latitudinal and longitudinal spatial resolution of 2° by 2° for each data point (pixels) and a time resolution of one month. For the analysis we selected pixels from the eastern Pacific coast at 60° N to 60° S and only the pixels nearest to the coastline (61 pixels in total). ERSST data was generated from NOAA’s Historical Merged Land-Ocean Surface Temperature Analysis, version 3b (http://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/oa/climate/research/sst/ersstv3.php) and it includes data from January 1950 to December 2010, for a total of 732 months. The data in ERSST starts in 1854 but we decided to use only the above-mentioned period because the reported confidence interval for uncertainty for the quasi-global average for 1950 onwards is of 0.1°C. Improved fit procedures are also included for this period along with the omission of satellite observations due to the larger residual bias of such observations (Smith and Reynolds, 2003, 2004; Smith et al., 2008). The total solar irradiance data (TSI) was obtained from the SOLARIS working group web page hosted by the Physics of the Middle Atmosphere Group of the Freie Universität of Berlin (http://www.geo.fu-berlin.de/en/met/ag/strat/forschung/SOLARIS/Input_data/). Here they include data for the period 1880–2004. Such data is calculated with the use of observational data and proxies. It includes corrections for wavelength-dependent degradation common to measurements of TSI. A more detailed description of these estimates can be found in Lean et al. (1997), Lean (2000) and Lean et al. (2005).

To determine the exact length and timing of every cycle the Cyclic Descent method was used (Bloomfield, 1976). The latter method is useful to define the cycles present within a time series and fits a polynomial model that can be employed to forecast.

2.2 Monthly anomaly analysis

For the SST data, the monthly anomalies for each 2° by 2° coastal pixel were calculated by obtaining a mean of all months in the database resulting in an annual mean time series per pixel. This was then subtracted from individual monthly values in the time series.

In order to detect the presence of a SST regime shift in the different time series we used the Regime Shift Detector (RSD, Rodionov, 2004; Rodionov and Overland, 2005). The RSD is a useful tool to detect abrupt changes in a time series by t-test analysis of either the mean or variance, and it is useful also since it can detect a shift with a minimum delay and not to be biased by long intervals when the observations remain above or below the overall mean value (red noise). Here the RSD was used with a significance level of 90% (p < 0.1), cut-off length of 60 month and Huber’s weight parameter of 1. For the red-noise estimation, the Inverse Proportionality with 4 corrections (IP4) method was selected, using a sub-sample size of 39. Before applying the RSD a data pre-whitening was carried out (Rodionov, 2006). With the parameters above mentioned, we expect this method will detect a SST regime at least 5 yr long.

2.3 Seasonal amplitude cycle analysis

Changes in the seasonal cycle are studied from yearly amplitudes obtained for each pixel and every year in the data base. To calculate the deviations from the amplitude of seasonal cycle we computed for every pixel the annual mean solar irradiance. The latter is a function of the latitude and the movement of the sun throughout the sky during a year. Therefore, we use equations based on the spherical law of cosines and equations modified from Spencer (1971) and...
Jacobs et al. (2004). First, we obtain the solar declination for a given year using,

\[ \delta_t = \epsilon \cdot \sin((d_t - (21 + 28 + 31) \cdot \frac{\pi}{182.5}) \cdot \frac{\pi}{180} \]  

(1)

where, \( \delta_t \) is the solar declination, \( \epsilon \) is the Earth’s axial tilt (23.44°) and \( d_t \) is time in Julian days. To obtain the solar latitude or azimuth (\( \alpha \)) series for a particular latitude we used,

\[ \alpha_t = a \cdot \sin(\sin(Lat) \cdot \sin(\delta_t) + \cos(Lat) \cdot \cos(\delta_t) \cdot \cos(Y_t)) \cdot \frac{180}{\pi} \]  

(2)

where, \( Lat \) is a given latitude in radians and \( Y_t \) is the hour of the day. For every latitude in the study (pixel), \( a \) was computed, and then the solar irradiance for such latitude (\( Irr_{\text{Lat}t} \)) was calculated as

\[ Irr_{\text{Lat}t} = \sin(\alpha_t \cdot \frac{\pi}{180}) \cdot Irr_{\text{net}} \]  

(3)

where \( Irr_{\text{net}} \) is the amount of net solar irradiance received by the Earth’s surface (344 W m\(^{-2}\)). We assumed an albedo of 0.3, hence the net solar irradiance was 240.8 W m\(^{-2}\).

The amplitude of the solar irradiance for each pixel (latitude) was obtained calculating the statistical range. This results in a theoretical latitudinal solar irradiance amplitude series (the amplitude value of solar irradiance for every pixel), which was used to compute regressions against their corresponding latitudinal mean SST seasonal amplitude series, which was calculated averaging the 61-yr SST amplitude series for each pixel (see Sect. 2.2), resulting in one mean SST amplitude value for every pixel (latitude) used in this study. The regression was carried out in two steps: (1) from equator to 60° N and, (2) from equator to 60° S, resulting in a theoretical curve of latitudinal SST amplitude based on solar radiation (amplitude of the solar irradiance, red line in Fig. 1a).

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Meridional coastal variability: mean seasonal SST cycle and theoretical seasonal cycle based on solar irradiance curve

Figure 1a compares the annual mean SST range with the theoretical seasonal temperature amplitude obtained from the irradiance cycle. This is shown for all coastal stations along the Eastern Pacific. The statistical temperature range (maximum – minimum) was calculated for every year in the data base. From 60° to 56° N in the region of the Alaska Current the seasonal cycle is 0.6 to 1°C larger than the theoretical curve (TC). This is because this current is relatively warm and tends to increase the amplitude of the seasonal cycle. From 54° to 46° N the mean SST range is close to the TC with differences less than 0.47°C, most of them above the TC. This is thought to occur here because this region does not have coastal upwelling sites and the California Current is found far from the coast. From 44° to 30° N is an area influenced by both the California Current and coastal upwelling. This is a temperate zone with a seasonal SST range of about 5–6°C, nevertheless upwelling and California Current make this area cooler and more homogeneous, thus reducing the seasonal cycle and the SST range falling below the TC (0.45–1.5°C) with smaller differences in the latitudinal band 36°–34° N. From 20° to 26° N the SST range is above that of the TC with values ranging from 1 to 2°C and the largest differences are found at 24 to 26° N. Here the increase in seasonal SST range is due to the influence of tropical waters in the late summer and the presence of either the California Current or coastal upwelling lowering the coastal SSTs most of the year. The latitudinal band from 18° N to 2° N, which includes the eastern Pacific warm pool and north equatorial region, shows values close to the TC with differences no larger than 0.5°C. As mentioned by Fiedler and Talley (2006), this warm band marks a thermal equator where the amplitude of the seasonal cycle is low. To the south, from the Equator to 28° S we find the Humboldt or Peru Current region and intense coastal upwelling. This region is embedded in a tropical area and it should have a smaller SST range (around 2–3°C) but due to the cold current and the upwelling the seasonal cycle is much greater (>6°C). Consequently, the range is above the TC (values between 0.8–5.1°C) with largest differences in the upwelling region between 4° and 12° S (4 to 5.1°C). From 30° S and to the south the mean SST range diminished progressively. The difference of TC and average annual SST range over the 61 yr was lower at both 42° S and 58° S being 1.2°C and 5.15°C lower, respectively. This is attributed to the influence of the Circumpolar Current and its extension to the north along the American coast (see also Sect. 3.3). Table 1 summarizes results shown above.

Mean SSTs showed a latitudinal gradient (Fig. 1b) with cooler temperatures at higher latitudes (coolest at 60° S, 4.1°C), as expected, and warmer temperatures near the equator with the warmest SST north of the Equatorial region, from the 6°–18° N (27°–28.5°C) including the coast of the eastern Pacific warm pool (~6°–14° N).

3.2 SST monthly mean anomalies, solar irradiance and Regime Shift Detector (RSD)

Figure 2 shows the time series for the total solar irradiance (2a), the Hovmöller diagram (latitude vs. time) of the SST monthly anomalies (2b) and the Hovmöller diagram of the RSD applied to every SST anomalies time series (2c). Note that we used the regime mean obtained with the RSD applied to every SST monthly anomaly series to generate Fig. 2c, hence the color bar is representing the mean value for the regime detected and is in the same units as SST (°C).

Total Solar Irradiance (TSI) data (2a) showed 4 full solar cycles for the period studied. To determine the exact length and timing of every solar cycle the Cyclic Descent Method
Fig. 1. (a) Mean latitudinal SST range for the selected pixels for the same period (black circles) and the theoretical SST range derived from solar irradiance (red line). (b) Coastal stations (pixels) selected for the study with their mean SST for the 61 yr in the data base.

Table 1. Observed SST range, expected SST range, range difference and likely cause of such difference for seven latitudinal bands found in the study. AC = Alaska Current, CC = California Current, EPWP = eastern Pacific warm pool, HC = Humboldt or Peru Current, CirC = Circumpolar Current.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Latitudinal band</th>
<th>Observed</th>
<th>Expected</th>
<th>Difference</th>
<th>Alteration due to</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>60°–56° N</td>
<td>8.26</td>
<td>7.57</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>AC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46°–54° N</td>
<td>7.13</td>
<td>6.94</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>CC away from coast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44°–30° N</td>
<td>4.73</td>
<td>5.69</td>
<td>−0.96</td>
<td>CC and upwelling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28°–20° N</td>
<td>5.52</td>
<td>4.22</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>CC, upwelling and tropical waters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18°–2° N</td>
<td>2.22</td>
<td>2.49</td>
<td>−0.27</td>
<td>Tropical waters and EPWP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0°–28° S</td>
<td>6.15</td>
<td>3.01</td>
<td>3.14</td>
<td>HC and upwelling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30°–60° S</td>
<td>3.96</td>
<td>6.43</td>
<td>−2.48</td>
<td>HC and CirC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

was used (described in the methods section). This method is useful to define the cycles present within a time series and fits a polynomial model that can be employed to forecast the behaviour of a periodic component of the signal (2a, blue line, $R^2 = 0.78$). The position of the start/end of each solar cycle was determined using this method and in the fig. 2 it is marked by black horizontal lines.

TSI series begin with the end of a previous period (1950-53) and then the signal contains an 10.5-yr solar cycle from January 1954 to May 1964. This solar cycle has a minimum/maximum irradiance value of 1365.5/1367.5. This is followed by a longer (11.5-yr) solar cycle from June 1964-December 1975. This was also the solar cycle with the lowest
irradiance of the whole TSI series with minimum/maximum values of 1365.3/1366.3. The next two solar cycles lasted 10.5 each. The first one goes from January 1976 to July 1986 and the second from August 1986 to December 1996. The minima and maxima for both solar cycles are almost identical, around 1365.4 and 1367.1, respectively. The remaining portion of series showed an incomplete period of increasing TSI trend.

In the following two panels of the Fig. 2c we show the SST anomalies and the regime shift detector applied to it. Negative values of SST anomalies (Fig. 2b) dominated the period of 1950 to 1978 (SST regime 1 in 2c). Zero and positive anomalies dominated from 1979 to 1999 (SST regime 2 in 2c) and mostly weak anomalies from 2000 onwards (SST regime 3 in 2c). During first SST regime negative anomalies of 2°C were common and only during El Niño Southern Oscillation (ENSO) events (1957–1958, 1965–1966, 1968–1969, 1972–1973, 1976–1977) is that near-zero or positive anomalies could be observed. During each ENSO event the intensity of the anomaly and its zonal extent are an indication of the strength of individual occurrences. In most ENSO events, positive anomalies in the Southern Hemisphere range from the equator to about 16° S. However, during some of the more intense ENSO events (e.g. 1957–1958) positive anomalies extend poleward to 30° S and to 60° N. Mid-intensity ENSO events resulted in a weaker response in the Northern Hemisphere. During SST regime 2 (warm), from 1979 to about 1999, there was a clear dominance of near zero to positive anomalies with the only exception of the negative anomalies associated to negative ENSO (La Niña) events. The strongest ENSO events of the whole series occurred during this warm SST regime, for instance during 1982–1985 and 1997–2000 events. Such events have the widest zonal extent. In the 1997–1998 event, positive anomalies were recorded along the coast from 56° S to 60° N. The second SST regime shift occurred around 1998–1999, after the strongest ENSO event recorded in this time series. First, a shift back towards negative anomalies occurred, lasting about 6 years. Then a brief near-zero to warm-anomaly period occurred in 2003 only to switch again to negative anomalies around 2007–2008. The main features of this period are: a) the weak character of the anomalies throughout the coastal region and b) the lack of strong ENSO events. These brief and weak events are similar to the El Niño-Madden class of ENSO events. Such behaviour has been described as a consequence of climate change by Ashok and Yamagata (2009).

The behaviour of the total solar irradiance curve (2a) is consistent with the regimes described by the SST anomaly curves (2b). During the cool SST regime (1950 to 1978, as seen in 2b and 2c two full solar cycles took place. The first solar cycle is short and reaches the highest TSI values of the two. The second solar cycle is an elongated cycle with comparatively lower TSI maximum. This shows a clear relationship with the SST anomalies. The maximum of the first solar cycle occurred during the El Niño 1957–1958 and dur-
towards a positive phase agrees with the first regime shift detected in the present work which switched the whole basin to warm conditions. As pointed out by Gershunov and Barnett (1998), some studies suggest that ENSO influences on climate are strongly dependent on the phase of the PDO, such that the canonical El Niño and La Niña patterns of climate anomalies are most likely to occur during years in which ENSO and PDO extremes are in phase (i.e., with warm PDO coincident with El Niño, and cool PDO coincident with La Niña). During second regime (warm), the strongest ENSO events of the series were recorded, which is another resemblance between PDO and the present work. It is worth noting the synchonmy of regime shifts in this work and PDO phases, which indicates a deep coupling of the climatic system in the whole basin.

The regime shift detector (RSD) was applied to the SST anomalies (Fig. 2c). What makes this method useful is the use of a statistical test which includes a measure of the level of significance. This is very useful to detect if there is meaningful change in the mean or variance of the time series. The Hovmöller diagram of the RSD corroborates what was previously stated (Fig. 2c). There was a basin-scale SST regime shift around the year 1978 from cooler to warmer conditions. This SST regime shift occurs along the whole basin, with the exception of the region from 4° S to 16°–18° N where the regime shifts happened a little later, around mid-1980’s. The warm event from 24° S to 60° N in late 1950’s is related to the occurrence of the 1957–1958 ENSO event.

The SST regime changes to cool conditions in the late 1990’s. This occurred along the whole basin and it might have been triggered by la Niña 1999–2001. This event started in late 1998 and lasted through 2000 with negative anomalies observed even during 2002.

### 3.3 Seasonal cycle amplitude and RSD

The Hovmöller diagram of the temperature amplitude (TA) and temperature residual (TR = range – theoretical curve of solar radiation, TC, see the methods section) are shown in Fig. 3a and 3b respectively. It is important to notice that latitudinal TA is caused by seasonal changes in solar radiation; meanwhile changes in TR are likely caused by physical processes such as ocean currents capable of modifying the phase of the seasonal warming/cooling cycle due to solar irradiance.

TA in Fig. 3a clearly denotes a latitudinal gradient explained by the SST mean latitudinal range (figure 1a). TA was always larger than 7°C in the mid- to high-latitudes (from 40° N northwards), diminishes to a minimum of 2–4°C from 28° to 40° N, increasing again when it reached the tropical transition zone (from 20° to 26° N) and in the tropical to equatorial band the SST range was very small (less than 3°C). In the southern hemisphere the larger SST ranges occurred in the equatorial to subtropical band (south of 0° to 26° S) reaching up to 10°C. Only the extreme south showed again a small range similar to that observed in the equator.

It is worth noting that ENSO events have a strong effect over the seasonal cycle, amplifying it or reducing it (Trenberth, 1997; Galanti and Tziperman, 1999; Neelin et al., 2000). For example in the El Niño 1997–1998 the effect differed along the coast. From the equator southwards to 30° S the presence of the Humboldt Current results in a reduction of the TA of 2 to 3°C. Northwards along the coast the TA was amplified in the subtropical to tropical transition (20° to 26° N) and in the mid- to high-latitude (40° N and northwards) due to the poleward propagation of the ENSO signal. After El Niño event, La Niña acted in exactly the opposite way. It amplified the SST range southwards of the equator and reduced it north of it.

When subtracting the TC from the TA (Fig. 3b) the residuals (TR) indicate how the temperature range is affected by other factors than merely solar radiation. After this calculation the results indicates that ocean currents are the physical factors most important in influencing SST.

The southernmost portion (52°–60°S) showed the lowest negative residuals (−7 to −5°C) and lowest temperatures (around 5°C) and is influenced by the Antarctic Circumpolar Current. This indicates that warming during austral spring and summer is greatly dispersed due to advection, turning this zone colder than the TC. Northwards, there is a zone located approximately from 50° S to 26° S influenced by the Humboldt Current (also known as Peru or Peru-Chile Current) and alongshore winds around 30° S (Strub et al., 1998, temperature ~10–17°C). The residuals here are between 0 and 4°C, which indicates that this zone is still cooler than expected and it has a reduced seasonal cycle. Between 24° S and the equator residuals are positive and reach the highest values. This region should have tropical and homogeneous temperature based on the latitude but it has a greater temperature changes during seasonal cycles than expected. This is due to the cold Humboldt Current and seasonal upwelling. From 2° to 18° N the residuals are the smallest and slightly negative (> −2°C) indicating that this region has seasonal cycle smaller than the TC. This is the warmest coastal region in the eastern Pacific coast with a mean SST around ~27–28°C and it is where the thermal Equator and the eastern Pacific warm pool are located. This zone is relatively stable as a consequence of the lack of a dominant large-scale circulation and high solar radiation. In the 20°–26° N region, the seasonal cycle is amplified by almost 2°C in this region where the California Current seasonally nears the coast. This region includes the transition between tropical and temperate climates but still receives a large amount of solar radiation, with the Tropic of Cancer located at ~23°26’16” N. All these conditions result in a marked seasonality. From 28° to ~44° N the seasonal cycle is lower than expected (~2°C) basically due to the combined effect of the North Pacific Current in the north, the California Current and seasonal coastal
upwelling which helps in maintaining low SSTs. The northernmost region (46°–60° N) is characterized by a seasonal cycle slightly larger than expected (up to ~2°C), although it shows variability with negative values dominating in some periods. This is because this zone is influenced by the Alaska Current, known to be a warm current, and this interannual range variability reflects its variability near the coast. The RSD applied to TA and TR (figure not shown) showed no discernible patterns, as was the case for SST monthly anomalies.
The ocean currents play an important role in the distribution of heat and momentum in the earth; in this case they alter the SST range near the coast by distributing the energy received at other latitudes. Trenberth and Caron (2001) showed different estimates of derived ocean heat transport for some oceans. They showed calculated values for the "World Ocean" at 24° of 1.5–2.0 PW (Petawatt = 10^{15} W), such values indicate the importance of the heat transport by currents.

### 4 Conclusions

In this study, during the last 61 yr two shifts to different “temperature regimes” were detected along the coast of the eastern Pacific. This was carried out through analysis of monthly SST anomalies and using statistical tools, such as the regime shift detector (RSD). We found that the SST regimes were clearly related to the solar activity, particularly to the amount of TSI and length and intensity of sunspot cycle.

Noteworthy is the relationship observed between TSI and SST: more energy was received at the top of the atmosphere during the second SST regime—which was warmer, than in the cooler first SST regime. As stated, the difference between SST regimes is \(3 \times 10^8\) J m\(^{-2}\). This is equivalent to about...
0.39% more energy received during the warm SST regime compared to the cooler SST regime. Although a great percentage of the energy received at the top of the atmosphere is lost due to dispersion, refraction, reflection etc. The remaining energy enters the climate system and the loss of about 0.39% during the cool SST regime is not a negligible amount. Another factor influencing incoming radiation from the sun in climatic regimes is the length of solar cycles that is longer during the cool SST regime (22 yr combined) than the remaining two cycles in the series (21 yr combined); while the second cycle of the cool SST regime (1964–1975) received the least amount of energy of the series. Hence, it is possible that these combined factors, less energy received due to reduced solar activity because of the longer cycles and less irradiance, caused the dominance of negative anomalies in the first ∼30 yr of the time series. The opposite happens in the next two cycles, more TSI and shorter sunspot cycles. The relationship between TSI and length of solar cycles is complex and not totally understood, but ideally it should not be disregarded when assessing climate affects. The seasonal cycle in the eastern coast of Ocean Pacific has not significantly changed in the 61-yr period studied. We corroborated that some climatic variability, such as ENSO events, is capable of altering the amplitude of the seasonal cycle. This has been recognized by many authors and some of them report that its effect can be superimposed on the long-term climatic alterations. Also, the seasonal cycle in coastal regions is influenced by the advection of heat by the oceanic currents. They play an important role in the modulation of the amplitude of seasonal cycles in coastal areas.
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