



Original / Alimentos funcionales

Antioxidant responses of damiana (*Turnera diffusa* Willd) to exposure to artificial ultraviolet (UV) radiation in an *in vitro* model; part I; UV-C radiation

Lluvia de Abril Alexandra Soriano-Melgar¹, Lilia Alcaraz-Meléndez², Lía C. Méndez-Rodríguez¹, María Esther Puente¹, Fernando Rivera-Cabrera³ and Tania Zenteno-Savín¹

¹Planeación Ambiental y Conservación. ²Agricultura en Zonas Áridas. Centro de Investigaciones Biológicas del Noroeste. S. C., Instituto Politécnico Nacional 195. Col. Playa Palo de Santa Rita Sur, La Paz, Baja California Sur. México. ³Laboratorio de Fisiología, Bioquímica y Biología Molecular de Plantas. Departamento de Ciencias de la Salud. Universidad Autónoma Metropolitana. Unidad Iztapalapa. Iztapalapa México D. F. México.

Abstract

Introduction: Ultraviolet type C (UV-C) radiation has higher energy than the UV-B radiation and has been less studied because it is completely absorbed by the ozone layer. However, artificial UV-C radiation can generate diverse modifications in the plants. Given that exposure to UV-C for short periods of time increases the antioxidant content, improving the appearance and shelf-life of products, its potential application in postharvest treatments to modify the antioxidant content of medicinal plants, such as damiana (*Turnera diffusa*), is novel and relevant.

Objective: To determine the effects of UV-C radiation on enzymatic and non-enzymatic antioxidant defenses, as well as oxidative damage levels, in damiana (*Turnera diffusa*) plants *in vitro*.

Results: UV-C radiation decreased superoxide dismutase (SOD, EC 1.15.1.1) and total peroxidases (POX, EC 1.11.1) activities, the concentration of chlorophylls (*a* and *b*), carotenes, vitamin C, and total antioxidant capacity. UV-C radiation increased the phenolic compound levels in damiana. Loss of antioxidant defenses was higher in damiana plants exposed to higher UV-C doses and/or for longer periods. This study suggests that UV-C radiation induces oxidative stress, evidenced as increased protein carbonyls and phenolic compound content, in damiana (*T. diffusa*).

Conclusion: Low dose, short exposure to UV-C stimulates phenolic compound content in damiana. Thus, controlled UV-C treatments could be used as postharvest treatment to increase phenolic compound content in damiana plants.

(Nutr Hosp. 2014;29:1109-1115)

DOI:10.3305/nh.2014.29.5.7093

Key words: Antioxidants. Antioxidant capacity. Phenolic compounds. Turnera diffusa. UV-C radiation. Vitamin C.

Correspondence: Tania Zenteno-Savín. E-mail: tzenteno04@cibnor.mx

Recibido: 1-XI-2013. 1.ª Revisión: 18-XII-2013. 2.ª Revisión: 23-I-2014. Aceptado: 15-II-2014.

RESPUESTA ANTIOXIDANTE EN DAMIANA (TURNERA DIFFUSA WILLD) EXPUESTA A RADIACIÓN ULTRAVIOLETA (UV) ARTIFICIAL EN UN MODELO IN VITRO; PARTE I; RADIACIÓN UV-C

Resumen

Introducción: La radiación ultravioleta tipo C (UV-C) presenta mayor energía y es menos estudiada que la radiación UV-B, debido a que se considera que es totalmente absorbida por la capa de ozono. Sin embargo, la radiación UV-C artificial es capaz de generar diversas modificaciones en las plantas. Dado que la exposición a UV-C por intervalos de tiempo cortos incrementa la concentración de compuestos antioxidantes, mejorando la apariencia y vida de anaquel de los productos, su potencial aplicación en tratamientos poscosecha para modificar el contenido antioxidante de plantas medicinales, como la damiana (*Turnera diffusa*), es novedoso y relevante.

Objetivo: Determinar el efecto de la radiación UV-C sobre las defensas antioxidantes enzimáticas y no enzimáticas, así como en los niveles de daño oxidativo de damiana (*Turnera diffusa*) *in vitro*.

Resultados: La radiación UV-C disminuyó la actividad de las enzimas superóxido dismutasa (SOD, EC 1.15.1.1) y peroxidasas totales (POX, CE 1.11.1), la concentración de clorofila (*a y b*), carotenos, vitamina C y la capacidad antioxidante total, e incrementó el contenido de compuestos fenólicos en damiana. La disminución de las defensas antioxidantes fue mayor en plantas de damiana expuestas a dosis más altas de UV-C o por períodos más largos. Estos resultados sugieren que la radiación UV-C induce estrés oxidativo, evidenciado por el incremento del contenido de compuestos fenólicos en damiana (*T. diffusa*).

Conclusión: Dosis bajas y menor exposición a UV-C estimulan la síntesis de compuestos fenólicos en damiana. Por ello, tratamientos controlados con UV-C podrían emplearse como tratamientos poscosecha para incrementar el contenido de compuestos fenólicos en plantas de damiana.

(*Nutr Hosp.* 2014;29:1109-1115)

DOI:10.3305/nh.2014.29.5.7093

Palabras clave: Antioxidantes. Capacidad antioxidante. Compuestos fenólicos. Radiación UV-C. Turnera diffusa.

Abbreviations

DPPH: 2,2-diphenyl-2-picrylhidrazyl. GAE: Gallic acid equivalents. GAEAC: Gallic acid equivalent antioxidant capacity. HPLC: High-performance liquid chromatography. POX: Total peroxidases. SOD: Superoxide dismutase. TBARS: Thiobarbituric acid-reactive substances. UV: Ultraviolet radiation. UV-C: Ultraviolet radiation type C.

Introduction

Ultraviolet type C (UV-C) radiation produces harmful effects because it is highly energetic and can induce damage to DNA1-2; thus, is widely used artificially as germicide and for the conservation of food, mainly in postharvest handling and in minimally processed products, requiring only short-duration treatments³⁻⁴. UV-C radiation, as compared to UV-A and UV-B radiation, is considered to be totally absorbed by ozone2.5. The weakening of the ozone layer over the past years has generated an increase in the ultraviolet (UV) radiation levels that reach the troposphere6. Plants respond to increased UV radiation by modifying the antioxidant defense system to minimize oxidative damage7. UV-C radiation can induce modification of the antioxidant content in plants⁸, mainly due to stimulation of the activity of phenylalanine ammonia-lyase (PAL), which is a precursor in the synthesis of phenolic compounds⁹; essential nutrients and the major contributors of the antioxidant potential in the human diet10. Therefore, UV-C radiation could be used to stimulate the production of molecules of biological and/or commercial interest, avoiding the use of genetically modified organisms11. The importance of including spices and/or plants products with elevated concentration of phenolic compounds in the diet and their contribution to human health have been recently reported¹².

Damiana (Turnera diffusa, Willd) is used in traditional medicine, as treatment of genito-urinary diseases, and as an aphrodisiac^{13,14}. Despite the traditional and economic importance of this plant, knowledge of the composition and properties of bioactive molecules in damiana is scarce15. The potential application of exposure to UV-C as a postharvest treatment in order to increase the antioxidant content, with the possibility of the additional benefits of improving the appearance and shelf-life of medicinal plants, such as damiana, is novel and relevant. The objective of this study was to determine if exposure to UV-C radiation can be an alternative for increasing phytochemical compounds of interest in damiana (T. diffusa) plants in an in vitro model. The effect of UV-C radiation was assessed by quantifying the enzymatic and non-enzymatic antioxidant compound content, total antioxidant capacity, and oxidative damage (TBARS and protein carbonyls) levels in damiana leaves.

Materials and methods

Plant material and experimental setup

Damiana plants were introduced in tissue culture following the methodology of Alcaraz-Meléndez et al.¹⁶, where Murashigee-Skoog (MS) medium culture was used. Five plantlets were used in triplicate as the experimental unit per treatment and day of irradiance. Bottles were covered with plastic and plantlets were grown for 6 weeks in 24 h photoperiod with white light at 25°C. UV-C radiation treatments were performed within a wooden box fully lined on the inside with aluminum foil. Germicidal lamps (G15T8 of 253.7 nm, The Medical Technology, Neuhäusler. A. G.) with an output of 0.38 mW cm⁻² were used as a source of artificial UV-C radiation. The UV-C lamp was positioned and fixed at 45 cm above the cup of the plants. Treatments were: 1) control, plants grown with white light for 24 hours each day; 2) 5 min of exposure to UV-C daily; 3) 10 min of exposure to UV-C daily; 4) 20 min of exposure to UV-C daily. The rest of the time, the plantlets were under white light (24 hours). At the onset of the experiment (day zero), recently irradiated (day one), and every third day, samples were collected for up to 10 days of treatment. Samples were fixed by immersion in liquid N, and stored at -80°C prior to being analyzed.

Enzymatic and non-enzymatic antioxidants

Analytical methods used to obtain plant extracts and homogenates, and to quantify the antioxidant defenses in damiana plants have been described previously^{15,17}. All the leaves from 5 plantlets were pooled and used for analysis; all analyses were run in triplicate. Briefly, chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b, and total carotenoid content were analyzed by the method of Lichtenthaler and Wellburn¹⁸ and are expressed in µg g⁻¹ of fresh weight. The coefficients of variability for chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b, and total carotenoid content were 5.5, 7.3, and 24.3, respectively. Superoxide dismutase (SOD, EC 1.15.1.1) activity was spectrophotometrically determined at 560 nm (Beckman Coulter DU-800, CA, USA) using the method of Suzuki¹⁹. One unit of SOD activity is defined as the quantity of enzyme necessary to inhibit the maximal rate of NBT reduction by 50%; the coefficient of variability for this method was 8.8. Total peroxidases (POX, EC 1.11.1) activity was detected spectrophotometrically at 420 nm²⁰. One unit of POX activity is considered as the amount of enzyme required to increase absorbance by 0.1. The coefficient of variability for POX activity was 9.0. Antioxidant enzyme activities are expressed in units mg⁻¹ protein.

Vitamin C (L-ascorbic acid) and vitamin E (α -tocopherol) content was quantified using high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC, Waters, Milford, MA, USA). Chromatographic conditions and methods were as described by Gratzfeld-Hüsgen and Schuster²¹ and Soriano-Melgar *et al.*^{15,17} Results were obtained by using standard curves of L-ascorbic acid (5-200 ng μ L⁻¹) and α -tocopherol (5-200 ng μ L⁻¹), respectively. Results are expressed as mg vitamin C g⁻¹ fresh weight and mg of vitamin E g⁻¹ fresh weight. The coefficients of variability for vitamin C and E were 0.26 and 4.0, respectively.

Total phenolic compound content was quantified by using the method of Singleton and Rossi²², modified to use on a microplate reader (BioRadTM, CA, USA), and using a standard curve of gallic acid (0-350 μ g mL⁻¹). Results are expressed as mg gallic acid equivalents (GAE) g⁻¹ fresh weight. The coefficient of variability was 3.2 for this method.

Total antioxidant capacity was determined following the method described by Brand-Williams *et al.*,²³ using the commercial free radical 2.2-diphenyl-2picryl-hidrazyl (DPPH) and a standard curve of gallic acid (50-300 mg mL⁻¹). Total antioxidant capacity is reported as μ M gallic acid equivalent antioxidant capacity (GAEAC) g⁻¹ fresh weight; the coefficient of variability was 7.0.

Oxidative damage

The concentrations of thiobarbituric acid reactive substances (TBARS), as indicator of lipid peroxidation, and of protein carbonyls were analyzed as proxy for oxidative damage as previously described^{15,17}. Briefly, the method of Persky *et al.*²⁴ was employed to quantify TBARS levels by using a standard curve of 1-bis tetrae-toxipropane (TEP, 0-20 nmoles mL⁻¹); results are expressed as nmol of TBARS mg⁻¹ protein. The coefficient of variability for TBARS analyses was 8.3. The content of protein carbonyls was analyzed following the method of Levine *et al.*²⁵, and results are expressed in μ M protein carbonyls g⁻¹ fresh weight. The coefficient of variability for protein carbonyls was 10.0.

Total protein content

Total protein content was used to standardize results of enzyme activities and TBARS levels, and were analyzed by the method of Bradford²⁶ modified for use in a microplate reader (BioRadTM) as previously described^{15,17}; a curve of bovine serum albumin (BSA) was included as standard. Total protein content was expressed in mg g⁻¹ fresh weight. The coefficient of variability was 17.9 between days and treatments in this methodology.

Statistical analyses

Data were tested for normality and homoscedasticity of variance. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Tukey post-hoc tests were used to determine significant differences between days (0, 1, 4, 7 and 10 days) and treatments (control, 5, 10 and 20 min UV-C treatments). Statistical significance was considered when p < 0.05. All statistical analyses were run using the software Statistica 6 (StatSoft, Inc., 2001). Data are shown as mean \pm standard error.

Results and Discussion

UV (UV-A, -B and -C) radiation, mainly UV-C, has been used to increase the content of bioactive molecules (flavonoids and phenolic compounds) in medicinal plants27. Therefore, in this study, the effect of UV-C on antioxidant compounds in damiana was determined in an *in vitro* model. Figure 1 shows the morphological conditions of plants treated with different UV-C doses for up to 10 days. Damiana plants showed immediate responses to the UV-C radiation; loss of leaves was observed after the initial exposure (day one), and on the following (4, 7 and 10) days of treatment. By the 10th day of treatments, damiana plants showed damage (chlorosis) in leaves: the most extensive damage was observed in the plants exposed to UV-C radiation for 20 min day-1 (fig. 1). Exposure to UV-C can generate damage to chloroplasts, produce premature senescence, and growth reduction1,28-29. In damiana plants irradiated with UV-C no growth of new leaves and signs of senescence in mature leaves were observed. Chlorophyll a content significantly decreased in damiana plants exposed to UV-C radiation for 5 and 20 min day relative to control plants (p = 0.001, table I). Chlorophyll b and carotenoid content significantly decreased in damiana treated with UV-C radiation for 20 min day-1 when compared to control plants (p = 0.001 and p =0.035, respectively; table I). Similar reduction in chlorophyll a and b were observed by Najeeb et al^{1} in shoots of mat rush (Juncus effusus L .) at different exposure times (15, 30 and 45 min) of UV-C radiation. Maharaj et al.4 observed a significant increase in carotenoid content in tomato fruits in postharvest treat-



Fig. 1.—Appearance of damiana (Turnera diffusa) *plants irradiated* in vitro with ultraviolet type-C (UV-C; 5, 10 and 20 min day¹) and control (white light) for 10 days.

| | | U C | ntent of ph w dresh w | otosynthetic veiaht) in lea | pigments, c | hlorophyll c ana (Turner | Tab 1 (μg g ⁻¹ fres a diffusa) μ | le I A weight), c Lants irradio | thorophyll b ted in vitro | ν (μg ⁻¹ fresh with ultravi | weight), and | l carotenoid | sc | | |
|---|---|--|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---|--|------------------------------|---|-----------------|------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|
| | | 2 | | nn 111 (111 Qua | | and | t 20 min day | v^{-1}) for 10 do | tys | | o adda amo | 5 | ` | | |
| Treatment | | | 'hlorophyll a (µg gʻ Fl | W) | | | | Chlorophyll b (µg gʻ FV | W) | | | | arotenoids (μg g' FW). | | |
| Day | 0 | I | 4 | 7 | 10 | 0 | I | 4 | 7 | 10 | 0 | Ι | 4 | 7 | 10 |
| Control | $277.09\pm7.44a^{*}$ | 277.09 ±7.44a* | 323.42 ±17.68a* | 347.76 ±7.62a* | 331.42 ±8.22a* | 276.16±6.55a* | 276.16 ±6.55a* | 332.65 ±20.60a* | 318.54 ±2.97a* | 327.28±10.86a* | 36.59±3.02a* | 36.59 ±3.02a* | 44.60±8.69a* | 51.16±3.06a* | 47.04 ±4.44a* |
| 5 min UV-C | 277.09±7.44a*,** | 248.14 ±4.83a*,** | 325.30±30.15a*,** | 212.47 ±0.89b*,** | $290.31 \pm 1.82b^{*,**}$ | 276.16±6.55a*,** | 255.28±3.40a*,** | 340.970±43.00a*,** | * 233.52±5.41b*,** | 297.15±5.70b*,** | 36.59±3.02a*,** | 33.71 ±2.08a*,** | 57.80±17.06a*,** | 19.88±1.88b*,** | 36.20±2.51a*,** |
| 10 min UV-C | 277.09±7.44a*,** | 239.74 ±6.70a*,** | 318.15±17.83a*,** | 254.90±3.97c*,** | $366.63 \pm 15.28a^*,^{**}$ | 276.16±6.55a* | 252.84 ±5.99a* | 314.32±20.16a* | $314.62 \pm 29.10a^{*}$ | 357.91 ±21.41ab* | 36.59±3.02a*,** | 30.66 ±3.64a*,** | 45.02 ±9.49a*,** | 22.70±8.55b*,** | $48.63 \pm 8.36a^{*,**}$ |
| 20 min UV-C | 277.09 ±7.44a** | 253.07±12.62a** | $269.11 \pm 7.91a^{**}$ | 228.11 ±12.58bc** | 103.71 ±2.61c** | $276.16\pm6.55a^{**}$ | $264.23 \pm 12.01a^{**}$ | 277.97±11.65a** | 262.42 ±15.49ab** | 102.84±2.25c** | 36.59±3.02a*,** | 33.09 ±7.15a*,** | $35.91 \pm 3.12a^*,^{**}$ | 24.17±6.51b*,** | $13.23 \pm 1.40b^{*,**}$ |
| • p value (day) | I | 0.06 | 0.22 | <0.001 | <0.001 | I | 0.20 | 0.39 | 0.018 | <0.001 | I | 0.82 | 0.57 | 0.01 | 0.003 |
| • p value (treatment) | | | <0.001 | | | | | 0.001 | | | | | 0.035 | | |
| Day one is considered as ne [•] Data are show n as m∉an ± st • ANOVA and Tµkev's nos | vly irradiated. undard error of a sample of ⊖hoc tests were amilied tor | in = 5; all analyses were 1 prohe for differences het | un in triplicate. ween treatments. | | | | | | | | | | | | |

ments with UV-C radiation in comparison with nonirradiated fruits. It is possible that different plant tissues have a specific capacity to react to UV-C radiation, and the pigment concentration in each tissue may reflect its potential for protection against UV radiation damage. A decrease or loss of photosynthetic compounds might be due to UV-C radiation being too severe⁶.

Protein content in plants decreases in response to UV-C radiation¹. Thus, the specific activity of antioxidant enzymes was determined in damiana plants exposed in vitro to UV-C radiation. No significant differences were found in SOD activity of damiana leaves between UV-C irradiated and control plants (p = 0.078, table II). Total POX activity significantly decreased in damiana plants irradiated with UV-C compared to control plants (p = 0.003, table II). In contrast, in shoots of mat rush (Juncus effusus L.) activity of SOD, POX and ascorbate peroxidase (APX) increased in response to UV-C radiation for 15 and 30 min, and antioxidant enzyme activities decreased at 45 min, at the same time when the protein content decreased¹. In pepper (Capsicum annuum L.) leaves and roots activities of antioxidant enzymes, such as SOD, increased in response to UV-C radiation (5.7 W m⁻² for 27 min per day during 14 days)30. In strawberry fruits irradiated with UV-C (0.25, 0.5 and 0.75 kJ m⁻²) in postharvest treatments, SOD activity decreased³¹. Tang *et al.*³² suggest that changes in antioxidant enzyme activities may be involved in the oxidative stress induced by UV-C exposure.

UV-C radiation did not have an effect on vitamin C (ascorbic acid equivalent) content in damiana plants throughout the experimental period (p = 0.911, table II). However, vitamin C content had a tendency to decrease (up to 40%) in both irradiated and control plants (table II), but no significant differences (p = 0.911) were observed between treatments. Artés *et al.*³³ reported similar results in minimally processed watermelon cubes irradiated with UV-C at different doses (1.6, 2.8, 4.8 and 7.2 kJ m⁻²), as well as a decline of vitamin C content throughout the storage period³³.

In this study, higher vitamin E (α -tocopherol equivalent) content was observed in leaves of damiana irradiated with UV-C for 20 min day⁻¹ than in controls (p = 0.048, table II). Given its solubility in lipids and its antioxidant properties, vitamin E contributes to the protection of membranes³⁴. The increased vitamin E content in damiana plants exposed to UV-C radiation may provide protection against the oxidative damage potentially induced by UV-C radiation.

The phenolic compounds have beneficial effects in the organism and are present in spices and vegetables^{10,12}. Wang *et al.*⁸ reported in blueberry (*Vaccinium corymbosum* L.) fruits that UV-C doses significantly increased phenolic content compared to control (nonirradiated) fruits. Phenolic compound content in damiana increased immediately upon exposure to UV-C radiation for 5 min day⁻¹ (p < 0.001), and in all UV-C treatments by day 7 (p < 0.001, table III). However, on

Different letters indicate significant differences between days within each treatment; * denotes significant differences between treatments; p < 0.05

| (mg asco | orbic acid | g ⁻¹ fresh | weight), d | and vitar. | nin E coi | ncentrati | on (mg c type-C | ς (UV-C, | erol g¹ fra 5, 10 anu | esh weigt d 20 min | ht) in lea day ⁻¹) foi | ves of da r 10 days | miana (T s | urnera d | iffusa) <i>p</i> . | lants irra | diated in | vitro wit | th ultravi | olet |
|---|---|---|---|------------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|--|--|---------------------------------------|--|------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------|---------------------|
| Treatment | | 5 | SOD (U mg ⁻¹ prote | jin) | | | | POX(Umg | r' protein) | | | Vitamin, | 1 C (mg ascorbic , | acid g' FW) | | | Vitamin E (mg | ·α-tocopherol g ^{,i} | FW | |
| Day | 0 | | 4 | 2 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 7 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 7 | 10 | 0 | I | 4 | 7 | 10 |
| Control | 9.49±0.26a | 9.49±0.26b | 4.26 ±0.36a | 14.81±0.35a | 7.99±0.54a | $0.32 \pm 0.02a^{*}$ | $0.32\pm0.02a^{*}$ | 0.134±0.01b* | 0.44 ±0.01a* | $0.20 \pm 0.01b^{*}$ | 1.11±0.03a | 1.11±0.03a | 0.76±0.02b | 0.76 ±0.03a | 0.64±0.03b | 0.83±0.09a** | 0.83±0.09a** (| 0.08±0.02a** 0 |).83±0.21a** 0. | .04 ±0.01c** |
| 5 min UV-C | 9.49±0.26a | 12.94 ±0.25a | 5.234 ±0.14a | 8.50 ±0.46b | 7.72±0.10ab | 0.32 ±0.02a*,** | 0.26±0.07b*,** | 0.185±0.07a*,** | : 0.25 ±0.01b*,** , | 0.22±0.02a*,** | 1.11±0.04a | 1.27±0.21a | 0.79±0.01b | $0.70 \pm 0.02a$ | 0.78 ±0.03a (|).83±0.09a [*] ,** 0 | .90 ±0.36a*,** 0 | .92 ±0.43a*,** 1.0 | 01±0.15a*,** 0.1 | 16±0.02c*,** |
| 10 min UV-C | 9.49±0.26a | 9.39±0.24b | 4.689 ±0.28a | 8.49 ±0.15b | 6.34±0.25b | 0.32 ±0.02a*,** | 0.15 ±0.01c*,** | 0.17±0.02a [*] ,** | 0.24±0.01c*,** , | 0.24±0.01a*,** | 1.11±0.03a | 1.21±0.01a | 0.77±0.01b | 0.69 ±0.05a | 0.66±0.01b (|).83±0.09a [*] ,** 0 | .25±0.01b*,** 1 | .20 ±0.09a [*] ,** 0. | 87±0.34a*,** 1.1 | 16±0.16a*,** |
| 20 min UV-C | 9.49±0.26a | 6.46 ±0.76c | 4.72 ±0.26a | 7.78 ±0.35b | 6.83 ±0.29ab | $0.32 \pm 0.02a^{**}$ | $0.16\pm0.01c^{**}$ | $0.13\pm0.01b^{**}$ | $0.24 \pm 0.02c^{**}$ | $0.134\pm0.02c^{**}$ | 1.11±0.03a | 0.92±0.03a | $0.99 \pm 0.02b$ | 0.67 ±0.05a | 0.72 ±0.01ab | $0.83\pm0.09a^{*}$ | 1.16±0.04a* | 1.19±0.43a* (| 0.93 ±0.09a* 0 |).67±0.25b* |
| • p value (day) | I | <0.001 | 0.17 | <0.001 | 0.02 | I | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 | I | 0.21 | <0.001 | 0.35 | <0.001 | I | 0.04 | 60:0 | 0.93 | 0.001 |
| • p value (treatment) | | | 0.078 | | | | | 0.003 | | | | | 0.911 | | | | | 0.048 | | |
| Phenollic com and protein | ıpound con n carbonyi | ntent (mg Is (µM pr | g gallic a rotein g ⁻¹ | cid g ¹ fr. fresch w | esch wei; eight)) ir | ght), tota 1 leaves o | ıl antioxi of damian | dant cap. 1a (Turne | Tal <i>acity (mb</i> æra diffus | ble III <i>A gallic a</i> (a) <i>plants</i> | icid g ⁻¹ fr. irradited | <i>esch we</i> i, <i>t</i> in vitro | ght) and with ultr | oxidative aviolet t | : damage vpe-C (U | : (lipid ре V-C; 5, . | roxidatic 10 and 20 | on (nM T 0 min day | BARS g^{-1} | protein) days |
| Treatment | | Phe | snolic compounds | s (mg gallic acid | g'FW) | | Antioxi | dant Capacity(n | nM fgallic acid g ⁴ | · FW) | | Lipid Pero: | xidation (nM TB ² | IRS g' protein) | | | Protein Carbon | yls (µM protein g | 1. FW) | |
| Days | 0 | - | 4 | 2 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 10 | 0 | - | 4 | 7 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 7 | 10 |
| Control | 9.49±0.26a | 9.49±0.26b | 4.26±0.36a | 14.81±0.35a | 7.99 ±0.54a | 0.32±0.02a* | $0.32 \pm 0.02a^{*}$ | 0.134±0.01b* | 0.44 ±0.01a* | 0.20±0.01b* | 1.11 ±0.03a | 1.11±0.03a | 0.76±0.02b | 0.76±0.03a | 0.64±0.03b | 0.83±0.09a** | $0.83\pm0.09a^{**}$ | 0.08 ±0.02a** (| 0.83±0.21a** 0 | $0.04\pm0.01c^{**}$ |
| Control | $12.04\pm0.13a^{**}$ | $12.04\pm0.13b^{**}$ | $12.45\pm0.36b^{**}$ | 9.19±0.40c** | 10.85 ±1.27b** | $330.43 \pm 5.37a^{*}$ | $330.43 \pm 5.37a^{*}$ | 366.82±9.70ab* | 448.38±7.04a* | 440.63±5.50b* | 213.13±10.89a | 213.13±10.89ab | 155.02±2.07b | 181.95±10.12a | 169.56 ±8.58a | 494.40±31.05a | 494.40±31.05a | 281.96±2.55b 1 | 70.00±16.61b 1 | 94.57±26.24c |
| 5 min UV-C | $12.04\pm0.13a^{*}$ | 29.59±1.08a* | 13.17±0.39b* | $30.29\pm2.23b^{*}$ | 37.69 ±4.50a* | 330.43±5.37a** | 265.39 ±2.60b** | 332.57±11.43b** | $295.80 \pm 10.52 c^{**}$ | 349.70±12.91c** | 213.13±10.89a | 245.77±3.71a | 74.75 ±5.27c | 198.33±10.65a | 174.90±13.29a | 494.40±31.05a | 241.33±17.88b | 315.57±20.09b 2 | 236.31±13.98a 33 | 1.99±16.84ab |
| 10 min UV-C | $12.04\pm0.13a^{*}$ | 14.82±1.47b* | $10.83 \pm 1.35b^{*}$ | 33.11±1.12b* | 41.07 ±1.76a* | 330.43±5.37a*,** | : 227.81±1.76c*,** | 352.20 ±6.45ab*,*` | * 393.01±9.79b*,** . | 432.09±5.27b*,** | 213.13±10.89a | 145.36±8.61c | 218.08±11.53a | 165.56±7.93a | 172.42 ±8.11a | 494.40±31.05a | 206.19±31.06b | 374.63±10.53ab | 275.17±8.01a 2 | 71.03±9.47bc |
| 20 min UV-C | $12.04\pm0.13a^{*}$ | $12.70\pm1.38b^*$ | 36.01±1.96a* | 41.24 ±0.42a* | 17.04±1.01b* | $330.43 \pm 5.37a^{*}$ | 345.12±5.85a* | 384.42±9.67a* | 383.54±13.25b* | 501.72 ±21.14a* | 213.13±10.89a | 194.20±8.71b | 206.33±3.30a | 183.09±1.83a | 173.82 ±3.90a | 494.40±31.05a | 266.92±26.38b | 425.58±40.77a 2 | 222.30 ±5.84ab 3 | 55.66±10.11a |
| • p value (day) | I | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 | I | <0.001 | 0.014 | <0.001 | <0.001 | I | <0.001 | <0.001 | 0.129 | 0.977 | I | <0.001 | 0.0107 | 0.0017 | <0.001 |
| • p value (treatment) | | | <0.001 | | | | | 0.0001 | | | | | 0.763 | | | | | 0.743 | | |
| Day one is considered as newly i Data are shown as mean ± stands • ANOVA and Tukey's post-hoc Different letters indicate signific. | irradiated. kard error of a sample of c tests were applied to pr c ant differences between | in=5; all samples we to be for differences n days of treatments | ere analyzed in triplic between treatments. s; * denotes significar | cate. int differences betwe | ∞n treatments; p<(| <u>1,05.</u> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Antioxidant responses of damiana (*Turnera diffusa* Willd) to exposure to artificial ultraviolet (UV)...

Nutr Hosp. 2014;29(5):1109-1115

the 10th day of exposure to UV-C radiation for 20 min day⁻¹ phenolic compound content decreased significantly (p < 0.001, table III). These results suggest that while low, short exposure doses stimulate, excessive UV-C radiation reduces phenolic compound content in damiana. Therefore, it is important to assess the appropriate UV-C dosage in order to maximize the content of phenolic compounds in plants; it has been suggested that obtaining compounds such as carotenoids, vitamin C and vitamin E in the human diet is preferred to intake of supplements¹⁰.

The DPPH radical is usually employed to analyze the total antioxidant capacity of vegetables¹². In damiana, total antioxidant capacity decreased significantly (p < 0.001) on day one in plants treated for 5 and 10 min day⁻¹ with UV-C radiation (table III). By the 7th day of treatment, plants irradiated with UV-C (for 5, 10 and 20 min day⁻¹) had lower antioxidant capacity than control plants (p < 0.001, table III). This may be the product of the combined decreases in non-enzymatic (photosynthetic pigments and carotenoids, table I) and enzymatic antioxidants (SOD and POX activities, table II) observed in UV-C irradiated damiana.

No significant differences in TBARS levels were found in damiana between treatments and control (p = 0.763) throughout the exposure period (table III). This could be due to the simultaneous increase in vitamin E levels in UV-C irradiated plants (table II). However, higher protein carbonyl content was observed on the 7th and 10th days in UV-C treated damiana plants in comparison with control plants (p = 0.0017 and p < 0.001, respectively; table III). Protein carbonyl levels are an indicator of damage to proteins due to oxidative stress³⁵. These results suggest that antioxidant defenses in damiana plants are sufficient to curtail oxidative damage to lipids but not to proteins.

UV-C radiation increased total phenolic compound and vitamin E content in damiana plants. The time course of the observed effects of UV-C radiation in damiana plants suggests that the strongest responses occur immediately upon exposure, and that the effects may lessen with time. Similar results were reported by Wang *et al.*⁸ in blueberry fruits exposed to UV-C radiation, and Ribeiro *et al.*³⁵ mentioned that the UV-C effects depend on the dosage. Therefore, exposure to controlled, mild levels of UV-C radiation may be an alternative to increase the content of antioxidants and other phytochemicals in damiana plants, as suggested by Jacobo-Velázquez and Cisneros-Zevallos¹¹ and Ribeiro *et al.*³⁵.

Conclusion

UV-C radiation had differential effects on the antioxidant defenses of damiana (T. diffusa) plants *in vitro*, depending on the time of the exposure. Short-term exposure increased vitamin E and phenolic compound content in damiana. Results suggest that UV-C treatment, when appropriately controlled, can be used as an alternative mechanism to increase the content of antioxidants and other phytochemicals in damiana plants.

Acknowledgments

Authors wish to thank M.G. Angulo-Villavicencio, O. Lugo-Lugo, N.O. Olguín- Monroy, S. Real-Cosío, and M. Rodríguez-Álvarez for technical support in experimental setup and sample analyses. This study was supported by grants from CIBNOR (PC2.0, PC0.10, PC0.5, PC0.9), UAM-Iztapalapa and CONAFOR (CONAFOR-PE09.02). LIAASM is the recipient of a CONACyT scholarship (205249). The manuscript was prepared following the Uniform Requirements for manuscripts submitted to Biomedical journals http://www.icmje.org.

References

- 1. Najeeb U, Xu L, Ahmed ZI. Ultraviolet-C mediated physiological and ultrastructural alterations in *Juncus effusus* L. shoots. *Acta Physiol Plant* 2011; 33: 481-8.
- Nawkar GM, Maibam P, Park JH, Sahi VP, Lee SY, Kang CH. UV-induced cell death in plants. *Int J Mol Sci* 2013; 14: 1608-28.
- Erkan M, Wang SY, Wang CY. Effect of UV treatment on antioxidant capacity, antioxidant enzyme activity and decay in strawberry fruit. *Postharvest Biol Technol* 2008; 48: 163-71.
- Maharaj R, Arul J, Nadeau P. UV-C irradiation of tomato and its effects on color and pigments. *Adv Environ Biol* 2010; 4: 308-15.
- Meisel LA, Urbina DC, Pinto ME. Fotorreceptores y respuestas de plantas a señales lumínicas. In: Fisiologia vegetal. F.A. Squeo Cardemil (eds), Ediciones Universidad de La Serena, Chile. Cap. 18. (online) 2011. p. 1-10.
- Katerova Z, Ivanov S, Prinsen E, Van-Onckelen H, Alexieva V, Azmi A. Low doses of ultraviolet-B or ultraviolet-C radiation affect phytohormones in young pea plants. *Biol Plantarum* 2009; 53: 365-8.
- Nasibi F, Kalantari KM. The effects of UV-A, UV-B and UV-C on protein and ascorbate content, lipid peroxidation and biosynthesis of screening compounds in *Brassica napus*. *Iranian J Sci Technol* 2005; 29: 39-48.
- Wang CY, Chen C, Wang SY. Changes of flavonoid content and antioxidant capacity in blueberries after illumination with UV-C. *Food Chem* 2009; 17: 426-31.
- Solovchenko AE, Merzlyak MN. Screening of visible and UV radiation as a photoprotective mechanism in plants. *Russian J Plant Physiol* 2008; 55: 719-37.
- Martínez FS, Gonzales GJ, Culebras JM, Tuñón MJ. Los flavonoides: propiedades y acciones antioxidantes. *Nutr Hosp* 2002; 17: 271-8.
- Jacobo-Velázquez DA, Cisneros-Zevallos L. An alternative use of horticultural crops: stressed plants as biofactories of bioactive phenolic compounds. *Agriculture* 2012; 2: 259-71.
- Mercado-Mercado, G., L. de la Rosa-Carrillo, A. Wall-Medrano, J.L. López-Díaz, E. Álvarez-Parrilla. 2013. Compuestos polifenólicos y capacidad antioxidante de especias típicas consumidas en México. *Nutr Hosp* 2013; 28: 36-46.
- Alcaraz-Meléndez L, Véliz-Murillo MG. Comercialización de una planta del desierto: damiana (*Turnera diffusa*). *Revista Mexicana de Agronegocios*. Cuarta Época, Año X. Mexico. 2006; 19: 83-94.
- Gámez AE, Ivanova A, Martínez JA. La comercialización mundial de damiana y los pequeños productores de Baja California Sur. *Comercio exterior* 2010; 60: 209-20.
- Soriano-Melgar LAA, Alcaraz-Meléndez L, Méndez-Rodríguez LC, Puente ME, Rivera-Cabrera F, Zenteno-Savín T. Antioxi-

dant and trace element content of damiana (*Turnera diffusa* Willd) under wild and cultivated conditions in semiarid zones. *Ind Crops Prod* 2012; 37: 321-7.

- Alcaraz-Meléndez L, Real-Cosio S, Bashan Y. Domestication of micropropagated plants of the spice damiana (*Turnera diffusa*). *Plant Cell Reports* 1994; 13: 679-82.
- Soriano-Melgar LAA, Alcaraz-Meléndez L, Méndez-Rodríguez LC, Puente ME, Rivera-Cabrera F, Zenteno-Savín T. Antioxidant responses of Damiana (*Turnera diffusa* Willd) to exposure to artificial ultraviolet (UV) radiation in an *in vitro* model. Part II: UV-B radiation. *Nutr Hosp* 2014; 29 (5): 1116-22.
- Lichtenthaler HK, Wellburn AR. Determination of total carotenoids and chlorophylls a and b of leaf extracts in different solvents. *Biochem Soc Trans* 1983; 11: 591-2.
- Suzuki K. Measurement of Mn-SOD and Cu, Zn-SOD. In: N. Taniguchi, J. Gutteridge, (Eds.). Experimental protocols for reactive oxygen and nitrogen species, Oxford University Press. R. U. 2000. p. 91-95.
- Kar M, Mishra D. Catalase, peroxidase and polyphenoloxidase activities during rice leaf senescence. *Plant Physiol* 1976; 57: 315-9.
- Gratzfeld-Hüsgen A, Schuster R. HPLC for Food Analysis. A primer. Agilent Technologies Company. Publication Number 5988-3294. Germany. 2001. p. 43-44.
- Singleton VL, Rossi JA. Colorimetry of total phenolics with phosphomolyb-dicphosphotungstic acid reagents. *American J Enol Vit* 1965; 16: 144-58.
- Brand-Williams W, Cuvelier ME, Berset C. Use of free radical method to evaluate antioxidant activity. *LWT-Food Sci Technol* 1995; 28: 25-30.
- 24. Persky AM, Green PS, Stubley L, Howell CO, Zaulyanov L, Brzaeau GA, Simpkins JW. Protective effect of estrogens agains oxidative damage to heart and skeletal muscle *in vivo* and *in vi*tro. Proc Soc Exp Biol Med 2000; 223: 59-66.

- Levine RL, Williams JA, Stadtman ER, Shacter E. Carbonyl assays for determination of oxidative modified proteins. *Me*thods Enzymol 1994; 233: 346-57.
- 26. Bradford MM. A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. *Anal Biochem* 1976; 72: 248-54.
- 27. Zhang WJ, Björn LO. The effect of ultraviolet radiation on the accumulation of medicinal compounds in plants. *Fitoterapia* 2009; 80: 207-18.
- Ganpudi AL, Schroeder DF. UV Damaged DNA repair and tolerance. In plants, selected topics in DNA repair. Prof. Clark Chen (Ed). Intech, Rijeka, Croatia. 2011. p. 79-96.
- 29. Sarghein SH, Carapetian J, Khara J, The effects of UV radiation on some structural and ultrastructural parameters in pepper (*Capsicum longum* A.DC.). *Turk J Biol* 2011; 35: 69-77.
- Mahdavian K, Ghorbanli M, Kalantari M. The effects of ultraviolet radiation on some antioxidant compounds and enzymes in *Capsicum annuum* L. *Turk J Bot* 2008; 32: 129-34.
- Mohammadi N, Mohammadi S, Abdossi V, Akbar-Boojar MA. Effect of UV-C radiation on antioxidant enzymes in strawberry fruit (Fragaria x ananassa cv. Camarosa). *J Agric Biol Sci* 2012; 7: 860-4.
- Tang K, Zhan JC, Yang HR, Huang WD. Changes of resveratrol and antioxidant enzymes during UV-induced plant defense response in peanut seedlings. *J Plant Physiol* 2010; 167: 95-102.
- Artés-Hernández F, Robles PA, Gómez PA, Tomás-Callejas A, Artés F. Low UVC illumination for keeping overall quality of fresh-cut watermelon. *Postharvest Biol Technol* 2010; 55: 114-20.
- Gill SS, Tuteja N. Reactive oxygen species and antioxidant machinery in abiotic stress tolerance in crop plants. *Plant Physiol Biochem* 2010; 48: 909-30.
- Ribeiro C, Canada J, Alvarenga B. Prospects of UV radiation for application in postharvest technology. *Emir J Food Agric* 2012; 24: 586-97.